INFORMATION PAPER

CIOP-COP
31 March 2004

Subject: Report of Investigation (ROI) #72-CID046-27852 (Tiger Force War Crime Investigation)

1. Purpose: To provide the results of a comprehensive review and assessment of the original CID investigation and recommended course of action by USACIDC in response to investigative reporting by the *Toledo Blade* newspaper. This information paper supplements the Information Paper of 21 Jan 04, SAB.

2. Facts:

a. On 3 Feb 71, a former member of the 101st Airborne Division reported that a member of the Tiger Force Reconnaissance Platoon, HHC, 1/327th Infantry, 1st Brigade, 101st Airborne Division, committed war crimes during combat operations in South Vietnam in 1967.

b. The USACIDC initiated ROI #72-CID046-27852 to investigate allegations of murder, aggravated assault, body mutilation, sodomy, and failure to report a war crime. The investigation established probable cause to believe soldiers assigned to the Tiger Force committed war crimes. The ROI was finalized on 18 Apr 75 and copies forwarded to the appropriate action commanders. No action was taken against any of the subjects of the investigation.

c. Between Oct – Nov 03, the *Toledo* (Ohio) *Blade* newspaper published a multi-part series on the war crimes and atrocities committed by soldiers assigned to the Tiger Force. According to those articles, the Tiger Force engaged in a campaign of murder, torture and mutilation of Vietnamese civilians from May to November 1967. The Blade further reported the results of the USACIDC investigation and the fact no action was taken against any of the subjects of the investigation.

d. Based on reporting by the *Toledo Blade*, a review of the ROI was conducted to determine if the articles contained any new or relevant information warranting re-opening of the original investigation. The results of the review are as follows:

(1) The *Toledo Blade* articles did not report any new or substantial facts not previously identified and addressed in the CID investigation. The series of articles, with minor exception, generally repeated and editorialized the crimes, atrocities, and series of events documented in the ROI.

(2) The articles identified two individuals who were not interviewed during the original investigation. One of the individuals, (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) former member of Tiger Force, was

identified in the ROI. The ROI documented reasonable unsuccessful attempts to locate and interview Mr. [redacted] in 1974. The second individual, Mr. [redacted] was not mentioned in the ROI.

(3) The *Toledo Blade* articles reported inconsistencies in the categorization (i.e. founded, unfounded, insufficient evidence) of offenses listed in the final ROI. In addition, the *Blade* documented an interview with the CID primary case agent (SA (ret) [redacted] who reportedly acknowledged and accepted responsibility for the inconsistencies, without further explanation. Based on a review of those specific offenses in light of information contained elsewhere in the ROI, it was determined further clarification was required.

3. Conclusions:

a. A comprehensive review of the ROI, interviews of former Soldiers assigned to 1/327th Infantry, and an interview with the lead CID special agent responsible for the investigation did not provide any substantial new information warranting re-opening of the original CID investigation or amendment to the final CID report.

b. Mr. [redacted] and CID Special Agent (Retired) [redacted] were interviewed by CID special agents in February 2004. The results of the interviews are synopsized below:

(1) Mr. [redacted] provided non-specific information concerning war crimes allegedly committed by members of Company C, 1/327th Infantry between 1966-1967. Mr. [redacted] stated he previously furnished specific details of these allegations to CID in the late 1960s and early 1970s. Searches conducted by the US Army Crime Records Center have not disclosed any record of this information. Additional reports of war crimes allegedly committed by US Soldiers assigned to other units in the 1/327th Infantry are documented in CID report of investigation 70-CID046-26520. Mr. [redacted] provided one non-specific allegation of a war crime committed by Soldiers assigned to HHC, 1/327th Infantry (Tiger Force).

(2) Mr. [redacted] provided information concerning his knowledge of alleged war crimes committed during the period Oct – Nov 67. Mr. [redacted] provided non-specific information regarding the murder of 80-100 Vietnamese civilians by unidentified members of Tiger Force. Mr. [redacted] also stated he witnessed war crimes committed by PVT Ybarra and SSG [redacted] former members of Tiger Force. In addition, although he did not personally witness CPT [redacted] HHC 1/327th Infantry) commit a war crime, he believed CPT McGaha ordered the execution of noncombatants by members of Tiger Force. It should be noted that Ybarra and [redacted] are listed as subjects in the original CID ROI. Ybarra and McGaha are deceased. Mr. [redacted] further stated although he did not personally witness LTC [redacted] (former

CIOP-COP
Subject: Report of Investigation (ROI) #72-CID046-27852 (Tiger Force War Crime Investigation)

Commander, 1/327 Infantry) commit any war crimes, he is convinced LTC (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) was aware of war crimes committed by Tiger Force and condoned such actions. LTC (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) was interviewed during the original investigation and denied any knowledge of war crimes being committed by Tiger Force. The original investigation did not develop credible information to believe LTC (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) was a party to war crimes committed by personnel under his command.

(3) Mr. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) re-confirmed the findings and conclusions in the final ROI based on extensive testimonial evidence developed during the investigation. As noted above, the *Toledo Blade* articles reported inconsistencies in the categorization of offenses listed in the final ROI. Based on a thorough review of the ROI, in conjunction with a detailed point-by-point examination of the investigative findings with Mr (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) the reported inconsistencies by the Toledo Blade are attributed to misrepresentation of the facts and testimonial evidence being taken out of context. The findings in the ROI are supported by the facts. An amendment to the ROI is not required.

Prepared by: CW5 (b)(6) (b)(7)(C)
Approved by: COL (b)(6) (b)(7)(C)

CID REPORT OF INVESTIGATION

(Military Police)

For use of this form, see AR 195-10; the proponent agency is the Office of the Deputy Chief of Staff for Personnel

19 JUN 1975

MAY 0 1975

5H1A/5H1B/5H1C/5C1B/

PREPARING CID AGENCY	TYPE OF REPORT	REPORT NUMBER
Fort MacArthur Resident Agency Sixth Region, USACIDC Fort MacArthur, CA 90731	Final PERIOD COVERED 22 Mar 72 - 14 Apr 75	5C1C/5C1D/5C1J/6F2/6M2/ 72-CID046-27852-~~90/5M2~~ 5X2/ Cont'd, see attached sheet

OFFENSE 1. Murder (WCI) 2. Murder (WCI) (UNFOUNDED) 3. Aggravated Assault (WCI) 4. Aggravated Assault (WCI) (UNFOUNDED) Continued, see attached sheet

DATE OF REPORT 18 Apr 75

SUBJECT(S) (Last name - First name - Middle name - Grade - SSAN - Organization or address and ZIP Code)

TITLE

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (1,2, ,6); Civ; (b)(6) (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (1,3,8); GPT; (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 13th Co, 1st Bn, US Army Aviation Center Trp Bde, Ft Rucker, AL 36360

Continued, see attached sheet

BASIS FOR INVESTIGATION This investigation was initiated on 22 Mar 72 upon receipt of correspondence from Hqs, USACIDC, Washington, DC, setting forth the details of an alleged war crime committed near Chu Lai, RVN, between 7 - 15 Nov 67. (MPR 274-72)

INVESTIGATIVE SUMMARY

1. Allegation (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) formerly Co C, 1/327 Inf, 1st Bde, 101st Abn Div, RVN, on 3 Feb 71:

Investigation disclosed that on 3 Feb 71 and 18 May 72, at Ft Campbell, KY, and Okinawa (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) executed false sworn statements and that he made a false allegation by claiming that he was present between 7 - 15 Nov 67, in a village, southwest of Chu Lai, during (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) member of the Tiger Force Reconnaissance Platoon (TFRP), HHC 1/327 from Jun 67 - Mar 68, murdered (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) inside a hut by cutting its throat with a knife. There is no evidence that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) committed such a murder or that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) during the same time period and under similar circumstances as reported by Mr. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) Oct 67 - unk date in 68)

2. Allegations by Mr. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) Jan - Dec 67), on 18 Jan 73:

MAY 2 1975

a. Investigation disclosed no evidence to support the allegation that sometime prior to Jan 67 a (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) suspected to have been (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) Jun 66 - May 67), mutilated the body of a dead VN by cutting off the penis and sewing it between the eyes of the deceased's head.

(Continued, see attached sheet)

DISTRIBUTION	COPIES	DATE OF NOTICE TO CO RESPONSIBLE FOR SUBMISSION OF DA FORM 268
ACTION:		See attached sheet
CDR, Ft Rucker, AL, ATTN: Dir of Acad Trng	1	
CDR, TSG, Washington, DC	1	
CDR, 1st Cav Div, APO 96490, ATTN: Chief of Staff	1	
CDR, HQ, 1st Det, Fort Harrison		
INFO:		
CDR, Ft Rucker, ATTN:	1	
CDR, [illegible]	1	
CDR, [illegible] ATTN:	1	
[illegible]		
Continued, see attached sheet		

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) LTC, MPC, CDR

Fort Ord FO, Sixth Rgn, USACIDC

Fort Ord, CA 93941

(8)

THIS IS A POLICE REPORT, NOT A LEGAL DISPOSITION. THE LISTING OF OFFENSES AS "FOUNDED" OR "UNFOUNDED" IN THE LISTING OF CONCLUSIONS, THE USE OF THE TERM "INSUFFICIENT EVIDENCE", OR THE EXPRESSION OF OPINIONS BY INVESTIGATORS ARE NOT ADJUDICATIONS AFFECTING THE GUILT OF ANY PERSON. REVIEWERS SHOULD ANALYZE THIS REPORT [illegible]

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

PROTECTIVE MARKING IS EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC TERMINATION (PARA 13, AR 340-16)

DA FORM 2800 1 APR 1 ... PREVIOUS EDITION OF THIS FORM IS OBSOLETE.

19

CID REPORT OF INVESTIGATION - ADMINISTRATIVE DATA
For use of this form, see AR 195-10; the proponent agency is the Office of The Provost Marshal General.

REPORT NUMBER
72-CID046-27852

SUBJECT(S) (Show last name and following personal data in order listed: Service number; Security clearance; DOB; POB; Sex; Race; Height; Weight; Hair color; Eye color; Build; Identifying marks; Former name, aliases, or service number; Disposition of subject; Results of repository check.)

TITLE: (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) None; 5 Oct 46 (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) M; Amer-Ind; 71 ins; 145 lbs; black hair; brown eyes; slight build; mustache; (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) formerly PVT, RA 28 058 719, Hq, 23d Inf Div (Americal), RVN; presumed to be residing at listed address; USACRD - subject of CID ROI 69-CID958-41237, completed 6 Mar 69, Hq, 23d Inf Div CID, APO SF 96374, offense of Possession of Marihuana

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) SECRET; (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) M; Cauc; 76 ins; 197 lbs; brown hair; blue eyes; medium build; on duty with unit; USACRD - subject of ROI 2(k)-60-352, completed 9 Jan 61, PMO, Ft Knox, KY, offense of Involuntary Manslaughter

Continued, see attached sheet

VICTIM(S) (Show full name and following personal data in order listed: SSAN; Service number; Organization or address; Position occupied; Grade or title; Sex; Race; DOB; POB; Former military rank or service number; Location of victim.)

Unknown Vietnamese Nationals (1,3,6)

US GOVT (8,9,10)

AGGREGATE DOLLAR VALUE OF PROPERTY

	STOLEN	LOST	DAMAGED	RECOVERED
US GOVT				
PRIVATE				

COORDINATION

INTELLIGENCE	DATE INITIAL NOTIFICATION: See attached sheet	NAME OF PERSON RECEIVING NOTIFICATION: See attached sheet
JUDGE ADVOCATE	DATE CASE DISCUSSED: 4 Apr 75	NAME OF PERSON DISCUSSED WITH: CPT (b)(6) (b)(7)(C)
PROVOST MARSHAL	DATE INITIAL NOTIFICATION	NAME OF PERSON RECEIVING NOTIFICATION
	DATE REPORT DISCUSSED: 18 Apr 75	NAME OF PERSON DISCUSSED WITH: (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) CPT, MPC, PM, Ft MacArthur, CA
OTHER	SPECIFY AGENCY, DATE, PERSON NOTIFIED: See attached sheet	

TOTAL AMOUNT OF CID FUNDS EXPENDED ON INVESTIGATION (Enter on repository and file copies only.) $

REMARKS Attached as Exhibits 396 - 413 are Polygraph Examination Reports (DA Form 2802). Under the provisions of para 1-7g, AR 195-6, these exhibits must be destroyed NLT 17 Jul 75. The originals of these reports, to include associated polygraph records, are in the files of the Crime Records Directorate, US Army Criminal Investigation Command, 2301 Chesapeake Ave, Baltimore, MD 21222. ~~Reproduction of these exhibits or their~~ contents is prohibited.

REVIEW

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C)

6TH REGION, USACIDC
FORT ORD, CA 93941

REVIEWED
HQ, USACIDC, WASHINGTON, D.C. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C)
ADMIN ✓ INVESTIGATIVE ✓ LEGAL
MINOR DEFICIENCIES NOTED
SUPPLEMENTAL (REQUIRED) (NOT REQUIRED)
BY MARVIN P. PARKER DATE 18 JUN 1975

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

DA FORM 1 APR 69 2800-1 REPLACES EDITION OF 1 JAN 68, WHICH IS OBSOLETE.

DA FORM 2800 (Continued)

REPORT NUMBER

(72-CID065-D5092)	(72-CID028-L6008)	(72-CID208-L7523)	(72-CID145-D3055)	(72-CID032-B2088)
(72-CID055-D4070)	(72-CID057-J0236)	(72-CID033-C0439)	(72-CID028-L6013)	(72-CID208-L7531)
(72-CID057-J0245)	(72-CID065-D5110)	(72-CID081-A0731)	(72-CID053-C0879)	(72-CID083-C1461)
(72-CID041-F0126)	(72-CID065-D5157)	(72-CID126-E1091)	(72-CID044-D8081)	(72-CID415-D0224)
(72-CID063-C1065)	(72-CID252-B4142)	(72-CID016-E0097)	(72-CID042-B3123)	(72-CID393-C1694)
(72-CID026-E1110)	(72-CID012-B0097)	(72-CID013-C0121)	(72-CID232-B2159)	(72-CID065-D5182)
(72-CID036-E2072)	(72-CID016-E0105)	(72-CID108-L7546)	(72-CID028-L6023)	(72-CID023-C0313)
(72-CID073-C1264)	(72-CID056-E4121)	(72-CID256-E4117)	(72-CID034-D1250)	(72-CID115-D0301)
(72-CID026-E1121)	(72-CID013-C0139)	(72-CID023-C0333)	(72-CID044-D8109)	(72-CID638-L3595)
(72-CID145-D3119)	(72-CID145-D3093)	(72-CID145-D3068)	(72-CID053-C0911)	(72-CID415-D0295)
(72-CID065-D5206)	(72-CID193-C1712)	(72-CID044-D8131)	(72-CID026-E1141)	(72-CID115-D0338)
(72-CID032-B2206)	(72-CID065-D5228)	(72-CID062-B5109)	(72-CID063-C1093)	(72-CID108-L7558)
(72-CID193-C1735)	(72-CID022-B1116)	(72-CID126-E1138)	(72-CID209-G0211)	(72-CID029-H0064)
(72-CID144-B8136)	(72-CID393-C1737)	(72-CID232-B2208)	(72-CID132-B2214)	(72-CID065-D5232)
(72-CID847-J0280)	(72-CID277-J1279)	(72-CID145-D3135)	(72-CID034-D2197)	(72-CID093-C1742)
(72-CID226-E1140)	(72-CID032-B2221)	(72-CID052-B4218)	(72-CID016-E1050)	(72-CID023-C0354)
(72-CID026-E1171)	(72-CID041-F0200)	(72-CID242-B3183)	(72-CID315-D0253)	(72-CID124-D7135)
(72-CID013-C0150)	(72-CID254-D9099)	(72-CID056-E4167)	(72-CID115-D0398)	(72-CID032-B2266)
(72-CID026-E1185)	(72-CID393-C1782)	(72-CID062-B5145)	(72-CID057-J0298)	(72-CID016-E0177)
(72-CID208-L7573)	(72-CID415-D0402)	(72-CID254-D9123)	(72-CID023-C0387)	(72-CID065-D5269)
(72-CID062-B5161)	(72-CID036-E2116)	(72-CID045-D3200)	(72-CID043-C0811)	(72-CID015-D0420)
(72-CID277-J1927)	(72-CID042-B3219)	(72-CID032-B2284)	(72-CID256-E4196)	(72-CID056-E4194)
(72-CID033-C0526)	(72-CID054-D9138)	(72-CID016-E0188)	(72-CID737-J0815)	(72-CID867-J1915)
(72-CID023-C1801)	(72-CID056-E4214)	(72-CID147-J0306)	(72-CID063-B5161)	(72-CID145-D3170)
(73-CID063-C4504)	(73-CID032-B2013)	(73-CID025-D4503)	(73-CID126-D0508)	(73-CID026-D0509)
(73-CID393-C8107)	(73-CID023-C0905)	(73-CID033-C1804)	(73-CID015-B7008)	(73-CID222-B9003)
(73-CID044-D3002)	(73-CID034-D3506)	(73-CID014-D2502)	(73-CID062-B5001)	(73-CID341-J0301)
(73-CID647-E1704)	(73-CID024-D4003)	(73-CID152-B4002)	(73-CID154-C6303)	(73-CID132-B2012)
(73-CID342-B0006)	(73-CID156-D2001)	(73-CID053-C3606)	(73-CID052-B4003)	(73-CID033-C1843)
(73-CID065-B6134)	(73-CID028-F0189)	(73-CID145-B8007)	(73-CID023-C0984)	(73-CID132-B2106)
(73-CID437-E0261)	(73-CID041-J0061)	(73-CID024-D4076)	(73-CID145-B8088)	(73-CID041-J0092)
(73-CID145-B8034)	(73-CID065-B6265)	(73-CID032-B2181)	(73-CID062-B5105)	(73-CID446-D5513)
(73-CID126-D0609)	(73-CID393-C8211)	(73-CID012-B0128)	(73-CID415-B6290)	(73-CID033-C1878)
(73-CID256-D2093)	(73-CID081-B4955)	(73-CID065-B6308)	(73-CID152-B4186)	(73-CID062-B5125)
(73-CID415-B6377)	(73-CID152-B4216)	(73-CID515-B7244)	(73-CID052-B4245)	(73-CID152-B4242)
(73-CID252-B4235)				

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

DA FORM 2800 (Continued)

REPORT NUMBER

(256-73-A0058)	(013-73-A0753)	(083-73-A0404)	(014-73-A0746)	(023-73-A1555)
(013-73-A0899)	(446-73-A0438)	(073-73-A0278)	(024-73-A1019)	(103-73-A0187)
(054-73-A0498)	(032-73-A1057)	(126-73-A0274)	(044-73-A1109)	(393-73-A0110)
(023-73-A1736)	(126-73-A0280)	(013-73-A1012)	(083-73-A0431)	(062-73-A0584)
(024-73-A1146)	(033-73-A1341)	(042-73-A1444)	(152-73-A0126)	(041-73-A0481)
(016-73-A1714)	(014-73-A1131)	(415-73-A0178)	(065-73-A0914)	(056-73-A1708)
(024-73-A1284)	(065-73-A0989)	(446-74-A0039)	(053-74-A0031)	(062-74-A0042)
(237-74-A0047)	(015-74-A0029)	(042-74-A0055)	(738-74-A0025)	(065-73-A0032)
(013-74-A0055)	(033-74-A0069)	(137-74-A0020)	(193-74-A0005)	(232-74-A0009)
(024-74-A0036)	(034-74-A0091)	(156-74-A0008)	(737-74-A0056)	(415-74-A0006)
(026-74-A0064)	(515-74-A0012)	(352-74-A0017)	(393-74-A0007)	(016-74-A2057)
(045-74-A0089)	(016-74-A0100)	(025-74-A0307)	(023-74-A0112)	(065-74-A0072)
(015-74-A0144)	(013-74-A0351)	(013-74-A0410)	(073-74-A0074)	(737-74-A0056)
(014-74-A0617)	(093-74-A0132)	(152-74-A0028)	(393-74-A0028)	(145-74-A0149)
(033-74-A1214)	(515-74-A0080)	(193-74-A0152)	(045-74-A0651)	(025-74-A0865)
(446-74-A0550)	(393-74-A0091)	(065-74-A0550)	(023-74-A0535)	(016-74-A1816)
(114-74-A0075)	(738-74-A0300)	(024-74-A0860)	(415-74-A0122)	(026-74-A0535)
(152-74-A0113)	(145-74-A0368)	(013-74-A0885)	(352-74-A0386)	(232-74-A0127)
(065-74-A0962)	(342-74-A0329)	(352-74-A0720)	(062-74-A0855)	(013-74-A1415)
(338-74-A0597)	(137-74-A0602)	(446-74-A0948)	(393-74-A0468)	(147-75-A0029)
(122-75-A0035)	(108-75-A0099)	(067-75-A0098)	(055-75-A0015)	(032-75-A0094)
(247-75-A0081)	(041-75-A0042)	(093-75-A0069)	(012-75-A0066)	(157-75-A0042)
(028-75-A0031)	(015-75-A0029)	(342-75-A0018)	(073-75-A0019)	(338-75-A0049)
(009-75-A0050)	(045-75-A0148)	(034-75-A0366)	(026-75-A0104)	(393-75-A0148)
(028-75-A0113)	(056-75-A0522)	(034-75-A0286)		

OFFENSE(S)

5. Sodomy (WCI) 6. Body Mutilation (WCI) 7. Body Mutilation (WCI) (UNFOUNDED) 8. Failure to Report a War Crime (Violation of a Lawful General Regulation, MACV Dir 20-5, 27 Apr 67) (WCI) 9. Conduct Unbecoming a Soldier (WCI) 10. False Swearing

TITLE

[redacted] (1,3); SFC; [redacted] Co C, 1st Bn, 29th Inf, Ft Benning, GA 31905
VARNEY, Robin Lee (Deceased) (1,3); formerly SGT, HHC, 1/327 Inf (NFI)
[redacted] (2); SSG; [redacted] HHC, BCT Committee, USATC, Ft Knox, KY 40121
[redacted] (1); Civ; [redacted]
[redacted] (1); Civ; [redacted]
[redacted] (1, ,4); [redacted] ,3); Civ [redacted]

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

DA FORM 2800 (Continued)

TITLE

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C)); (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (3, (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (8); CPT; (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (7), [illegible]
HATTEN, George Edward (Deceased) (6); formerly PFC, HHC 1/327 (NFI)
GREEN, Kenneth Leon (Deceased) (2, ,6)); formerly SP4, HHC 1/327 (NFI)
(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (9); Civ; (b)(6) (b)(7)(C)
(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (10); SP5; (b)(6) (b)(7)(C)
UNKNOWN (1,3,6,9)

INVESTIGATIVE SUMMARY

b. Investigation disclosed that on or about 8 May 67, near Pho Tan, Duc Pho District, Quang Ngai Province, unidentified TFRP members shot and killed a VN male prisoner as he attempted to escape; however, there is insufficient evidence to prove the prisoner was beaten up or that the prisoner was killed after he followed orders to run, as alleged.

c. Investigation disclosed that during the hours of darkness, on or about 23 Jul 67, in the Song Ve Valley, Nghia Thuan District, Quang Ngai Province, during operation (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (2LT, TF Cdr 3 Jul - Oct 67), murdered an unarmed (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) by shooting him in the head with an XM-16E1 rifle (Car - 15) and that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) Apr 67 - Mar 68, and PSG in Jul 67) struck the (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) on the head with an M-16 rifle.

d. Investigation failed to disclose any evidence that during Jul 67, in the Song Ve Valley, members of (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) shot and killed (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) VN male in a village and that they placed a grenade on his body to disguise him as an enemy.

e. Investigation disclosed that during the hours of darkness, on or about 24 Jul 67 in the Song Ve Valley (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) murdered an unarmed wounded VN male, by shooting him several times with a cal .45 pistol, assigned to Mr. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) Jun - Sep 67).

f. Investigation failed to disclose any evidence to prove that during Jun or Jul 67, in the Song Ve Valley, (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) killed a NVA male he captured by cutting his throat and that he removed the scalp from the body. Investigation also failed to confirm or deny (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) testimony that he saw such a mutilated body and that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) was in possession of such a scalp.

21

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

DA FORM 2800 (Continued)

INVESTIGATIVE SUMMARY

g. Investigation disclosed that in Jun or Jul 67, in the Song Ve Valley, (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) shot and killed an unarmed fleeing male VN with an M-16 rifle. There is insufficient evidence to prove or disprove whether or not (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) justifiably killed the male or that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) cut the ears from that body.

h. Investigation disclosed that on an unknown date, presumably in the Song Ve Valley, VARNEY (KIA, 27 Sep 67) and an attached unidentified (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) killed a male VN prisoner during or after an interrogation by jabbing a bayonet into his throat and that prior to the killing VARNEY punched the prisoner with his fist. There is insufficient evidence to prove that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) Mar - presumably 30 Jun 67) was present or involved in the murder.

i. Investigation failed to confirm or deny (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) testimony that during Jul 67 or later, at an unknown location, he witnessed as (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) Jul 67 - early 68) executed a male VN prisoner by shooting him with a cal .38 revolver and that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) heard (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) ordering the execution.

j. Investigation failed to disclose sufficient information to prove or disprove that in Jul 67, in the Song Ve Valley, unidentified TFRP members committed a war crime as they fired on about ten VN farmers who appeared unarmed and were working in fields. Investigation also failed to determine if any of the farmers were injured or killed.

k. Investigation failed to disclose any evidence that during Jul 67, in the Song Ve Valley, the TFRP was instructed via radio by the 1/327 Tactical Operations Center (TOC) to dispose of a prisoner under their control "like a horse with a broken leg", or that a prisoner was murdered in the implied manner because of such a radio transmission.

l. Investigation disclosed that during the latter part of 1967, at an unknown location west of Chu Lai (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) from Co A, 326th Eng Bn, 1st Bde, 101st Abn Div), executed (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) unarmed VN male by shooting him twice in the head with a cal .45 pistol. There is insufficient evidence to prove that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) ordered this execution.

m. Investigation disclosed that at about 1230 hrs, 21 Aug 67, at grid coordinates BT 172086, vicinity of (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (1), Tien Phuoc District, Quang Tin Province, during operation (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (attached combat engineer from Co A, 326th Eng Bn) shot and killed a VN prisoner with an M-16 rifle during an escape attempt, however, there is insufficient information to conclude whether or not (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) shot the prisoner without justification, as alleged. On or about 21 Aug 67, at the same location, unidentified TFRP member(s) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C)

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

DA FORM 2800 (Continued)

INVESTIGATIVE SUMMARY

with an object suspected to have been a shovel handle; however, there is no evidence to support the allegation that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) participated in that assault. Investigation also failed to prove or disprove the allegation that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) at the same location tied up two prisoners with detonating cord and that he exploded another piece of detonating cord in front of them.

n. Investigation disclosed no eyewitnesses to support the rumors that during Aug 67, in an area west of (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) cut the throat of (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) female after forcing her to commit an act of oral sodomy on him, or that GREEN (KIA 27 Sep 67) was involved in such an incident.

o. Investigation disclosed that between Jun - Nov 67, at unknown dates and locations, (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) on numerous occasions cut ears from dead VN bodies; possessed a set of human ears and a jar containing two ears; possessed a string with human ears which he wore on several occasions around his neck and a bag with about (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) suspected to have been removed from dead bodies. There is no evidence that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) carried a scalp braided to his rifle as alleged.

p. Investigation disclosed that in Sep and Nov 66, at unknown locations, unknown TFRP members cut an ear from a dead enemy. On or about 6 May 67 and sometime in Sep 67, HATTEN and GREEN, prior to their deaths, were observed cutting an ear from a dead VN. On an unknown date in 67 (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) was observed with a jar containing one or two ears but there is no information to substantiate the rumor that the ear(s) were given to him by (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) Investigation also disclosed that from about Feb - Nov 67, an undetermined number of unidentified TFRP members cut the throats and ears or finger joints from dead VN bodies; inserted ace of spades calling cards into the wounds and mouths of the dead or tacked the cards to the foreheads and chests of the bodies with thumbtacks and further, that unknown TFRP members were observed in possession of human ear, scalp and (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) Investigation also indicated that those practices were carried out because TFRP members believed that the VN were superstitious of dead bodies that were mutilated.

q. Investigation disclosed that on an unknown date in Nov 67, during combat (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) COL (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) ODCSOPS, Hq, USAREUR & 7th Army, APO NY 09403 (then LTC, 1/327 Cdr 3 Aug 67 - Jan 68), using his (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) requested over the radio an undetermined number of enemy bodies to achieve a battalion body count of 327, their unit designation. Investigation did not disclose any information that the TFRP as a result committed any war crimes by killing non-combatants. Investigation, however, did disclose that on 19 Nov 67 the TFRP reported the 327th enemy killed and that during the period 11 - 21 Nov 67 the TFRP body count statistics were inordinately high in comparison to the number of enemy weapons captured (54 killed - 2 weapons).

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

DA FORM 2800 (Continued)

INVESTIGATIVE SUMMARY

3. Allegation by Mr (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] during latter part of 67), on 5 Oct 73:

Investigation based on the testimony (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) and SGT (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) Co A, 1/325th Inf, 82d Abn Div, Ft Bragg, NC (TFRP Aug - Sep 67), disclosed that during Aug or Sep 67, at an unknown location, unidentified TFRP members executed a male VN detainee with M-16 rifles at the orders (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) after the detainee (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) during an interrogation. Also (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] unidentified TFRP members and that the same assailants (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] cal .45 pistol at the detainee's head and that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) later indicated he had knowledge of the incident. On or about 22 Sep 67, presumably at Phan Rang, (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) reported the above to the CDR, HHC, 1/327, believed to have been (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) No information was developed that any action was taken against anyone for the offenses, whether or not (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) reported this to the higher authorities in accordance with MACV Dir 20-4, or that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) the Bn Cdr, ever became aware of the incident.

4. Allegation by Mr (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) May 67 - Feb 68), on 17 Jan 74:

Investigation failed to disclose any information to support the allegation that in Jun or Jul 67, GREEN cut the throat of a tied and gagged prisoner at the order of an officer.

5. Allegation by SSG (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] HHD, 180th Trans Co, 13th Spt Bde, Ft Hood, TX (TFRP Jun - Aug 67), on 18 Jan 74:

Investigation disclosed that in Jul 67, in the Song Ve Valley, a male prisoner disappeared after he was escorted by YBARRA and GREEN from the main body and that after their return GREEN mentioned in the presence of (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) and others that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) had cut the prisoner's throat, and that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) based (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) testimony, submitted a false body count on the missing prisoner. Investigation did not reveal any eyewitnesses that saw (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) kill the prisoner.

6. Allegation by Mr (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (TFRP Apr - Sep 67), on 29 Jan 74:

Investigation disclosed that after an ambush at about 2207 hrs, 18 Jul 67, at the village Ky Tho Mo, Song Ve Valley, a group consisting of (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] and other unidentified TFRP members shot and killed a seriously wounded male VC prisoner.

24

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

DA FORM 2800 (Continued)

7. Allegation by Mr (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) attached to TFRP as (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] from HHC 2/320 FA, 1st Bde, 101st Abn Div), on 22 Jan 74:

Investigation failed to disclose sufficient information to determine whether or not in Jul 67, in the Song Ve Valley, (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) and other unidentified TFRP members committed a war crime by firing their rifles at two unarmed VN females, (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] Investigation further failed to identify the MAJ to whom (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] based on his testimony, reported this incident and allegation 2c, this report.

8. Allegation by SFC (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] HHC, 45th Med Bn, APO NY 09165 (TFRP Jul 67 - unknown date in 68), on 18 Jan 74:

Investigation disclosed, based on (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] testimony, that in Aug - Sep 67, at an unknown location, unidentified TFRP members threw hand grenades into bunkers and killed an undetermined number of occupants. Mr (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] Aug - Sep 67) and Mr (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (TFRP Aug 67 - Feb 68) each related a similar incident during that time frame which also resulted in an unknown number of deaths. Investigation failed to disclose whether or not the occupants killed were non-combatants, combatants or both.

9. Allegation by SSG (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] HHB, 6th Bn, 37th FA, APO SF 96358 (TFRP Dec 66 - 28 Jul 67), on 28 Jan 74:

Investigation disclosed that during the morning hours on or about 27 Jul 67, in the Song Ve Valley, (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] witnessed the execution of two male detainees by two or three unidentified TFRP members with M-16 rifles. Investigation further indicated that this execution was ordered by the officer in charge (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted]

10. Allegation by (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] on 12 Feb 74:

Investigation disclosed, based on (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] testimony, that on or about 21 Sep 67, near Tam Ky during (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] indiscriminately and in jest, fired M-16 rifles at an unarmed VN farmer who was plowing a rice field. The farmer ran for cover (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) was the only other officer in the TFRP, besides the TFRP Cdr, during most of the latter part of 67 and investigation did not disclose any facts whether or not (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] ever reported such incidents in accordance with MACV Dir 20-4, 27 Apr 67, or that he prevented the occurrance of war crimes committed by members of the TFRP as was his duty.

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

DA FORM 2800 (Continued)

INVESTIGATIVE SUMMARY

11. Allegation by Mr (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) Jan 67 - Jan 68), on 27 Nov 74:

Investigation disclosed that on an unknown date during the latter part of 1967, at an unknown location (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) by his own admission, shot with an M-16 rifle a VN female detainee with the intention of killing her, at the orders of (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) his element leader.

12. Investigation did not develop any information which indicated that war crimes were ever reported to Mr (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) present address unknown (former 1/327 Cdr 15 Jun - 8 Aug 67) or (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) or that they had actual knowledge that such crimes were committed by the TFRP. Investigation, however, did disclose that no action was ever taken against anyone for committing a war crime during their tenures as Commanders and that neither (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] put into effect an affirmative plan for the discovery or prevention of war crimes.

DISTRIBUTION

CDR, USACIDC, ATTN: CICR-CR	1
CDR, USACIDC, ATTN: CIOP-SA (File 71-484)	2
CDR, Sixth Region, USACIDC, PSFC	1
CDR, Ft Ord FO, Sixth Region, USACIDC	1
File	1

DATE OF NOTICE TO CO RESPONSIBLE FOR SUBMISSION OF DA FORM 268

19 Mar 74 (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted]
17 Oct 72
12 Nov 74
6 Aug 73
14 May 73
By this report (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted]

260

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

DA FORM 2800-1 (Continued)

TITLE

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) TOP SECRET; (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) M; Cauc; 71 in; 185 lbs; blond hair; green eyes; medium build; (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) on duty with unit; USACRD - negative

VARNEY: 26 Feb 47; Seattle, WA; M; Cauc; formerly SGT (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) HHC 1/327 Inf, RVN, presumed to have been interred (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) USACRD - negative

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) SECRET; (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) M; Cauc; 69 in; 160 lbs; brown hair; green eyes; medium build; in a desertion status; USACRD - negative

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) None (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) M; Cauc; 72 in; 165 lbs; brown hair; brown eyes; slender build; formerly SP4, (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) HHC 1/327 Inf, RVN; presumed to be residing at listed address; USACRD - negative

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) None; (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) M; Cauc; 68 1/2 in; 147 lbs; brown hair; green eyes; medium build; formerly SP4, (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) Co A, 326th Eng Bn, RVN; presumed to be residing at listed address; USACRD - negative

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) None; (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) M; Cauc; 71 in; 185 lbs; brown hair; blue eyes; stocky build; (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) formerly SP5, (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 9th AG Repl Co, Ft Lewis, WA; presumed to be residing at listed address; USACRD - negative

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) None; (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) M; Cauc; 69 ins; 150 lbs brown hair; brown eyes; medium build; (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) SSG (US Army Retired), (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) presumed to be residing at

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) None; (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) M; Cauc; 79 in; 230 lbs; blond hair; blue eyes; stocky build; (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) formerly 1LT, Hqs, 1st Bde, USATC, Ft Campbell, KY; presumed to be residing at listed address; USACRD - negative

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) NATO SECRET; (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) Martin AFB, CA; M; Cauc; 69 in; 150 lbs; brown hair; brown eyes; medium build; on duty with unit; USACRD - negative

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) TOP SECRET; (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) M; Cauc; 70 in; 155 lbs; brown hair; blue eyes; medium build; on duty with unit; USACRD - negative

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

DA FORM 2800-1 (Continued)

TITLE

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) None; (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) M; Cauc; 68 in; 140 lbs; blond hair; blue eyes; medium build; long hair and wears beard; formerly SP5; (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) Walter Reed Medical Center, Washington, DC; presumed to be residing at listed address; USACRD - negative

HATTEN: 7 Apr 46; Jacksonville, GA; M; Cauc; formerly PFC, (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) HHC 1/327 Inf, RVN; presumed to have been (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (NFI); USACRD - negative

GREEN: 14 Oct 46; Globe, AZ; M; Cauc; formerly SP4, (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) HHC 1/327 Inf, RVN; presumed to have (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (NFI); USACRD - negative

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) None; (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) M; Cauc; 70 in; 145 lbs; brown hair; green eyes; slim build; (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) formerly SGT, (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) HHC 1/327 Inf,

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) SECRET; (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) M; Cauc; 68 in; 165 lbs; brown hair; brown eyes; medium build; on duty with unit; USACRD - negative

INTELLIGENCE COORDINATION

19 Mar 73, SA (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 19 Apr 73, SA (b)(6) (b)(7)(C)
26 Oct 73, SA (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 12 Nov 74, CPT (b)(6) (b)(7)(C)
(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 7 Apr 75, CPT (b)(6) (b)(7)(C)

AGENCY, DATE, PERSON NOTIFIED

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) LTC, INF; Stu Det, Hqs, 3d USA, Ft McPherson, GA; 19 Mar 73
(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) CPT, AGC; 258th Pers Services Co, APO SF 96331; 14 May 73
(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) MAJ, INF; 525th AG Repl Co, Ft Lewis, WA; 6 Aug 73
(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) LTC, INF: Cdr, 1st Bn, 29th Inf, Ft Benning, GA; 17 Oct 73
COL, INF; Chief of Staff, 1st Armd Div, APO NY 09326; 12 Nov 74
MAJ, INF, XO; 1st Bn, 29th Inf, Ft Benning, GA; 3 Dec 74

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

28

SUBSTANTIATION

1. On 3 Feb 71 and 18 May (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) related in a sworn written statement that while he was assigned to the 3d Platoon, Co C, 1/327, his unit was involved in a combat action during the middle of Nov 67 against a 3 - 4 hut village near Chu Lai. Afterward (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) entered one of the huts and noted three dead VN inside, (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) which was alive and crying, and two US soldiers who were arguing about what to do with (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) then exited the hut to talk with his friend, the late John B. AHERN, formerly Sr4, Co C, 1/327, killed in action (KIA) on 16 Mar 68, and after noticing that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) had stopped crying he entered the hut again and saw that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) throat had bee (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) stated that a TFRP member by the name (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) was standing near the hut entrance and that the two soldiers inside told (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) had cut the baby's throat.

On 18 Apr (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) admitted that he did not witness the circumstances surrounding the murder as he reported it and that the only knowledge he possessed of such a crime was a similar story related to him by AHERN during beginning of Nov 67. AHERN told him he never witnessed the murder and never saw the body of (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) and that he only looked inside the hut, saw two soldiers inside, heard (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) crying and was later told by the two soldiers that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) had slit (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) throat.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) related he was in a 3 - 4 hut village during the middle of Nov 67 when (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) approached him from the direction of one of the huts told him in an excited manner that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) had just cut (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) head off. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) did not see the body of (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) and never discussed this any further with (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) He felt (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) was capable of such an act. On that day he and (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) became involved in an argument because he refused (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) denied any knowledge of such an incident but recalled the argument between (b)(6) (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) stated he was attached to the TFRP from about Jul 67 - Jan 68 and he denied any knowledge of the incident and his confrontation with (b)(6) (b)(7)(C)

SGT (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) Co A, 10th SF, APO NY 09050 (TFRP Oct 67 - unknown date 1968) related that the TFRP, while operating in front of the line companies, entered a 3 - 4 hut village in the Chu Lai area. He saw an armed VN male running into a hut which was then taken under fire and entered by (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) and others after (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) threw a hand grenade inside. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) did not witness what occurred inside the hut and was later told by unit members who participated in that action that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) had decapitated (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) to obtain a metal band (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) was wearing around its neck. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) felt (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) could have been killed (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) grenade and by the rifle fire directed against the hut. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) related (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) was present and he recalled the argument between (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) at that location.

29

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

SUBSTANTIATION (Continued)

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) related that during operation (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) someone mentioned to him that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) had killed (b)(6) (b)(7)(C). Afterwards (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) told him about the incident and showed him a metal band YBARRA claimed to have taken from the (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) could not recall the actual details of this conversation and believed (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) was still wearing the band when he last saw him.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) was advised of his rights by SA (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) and declined to answer any questions and requested a lawyer.

Interviews of former members of Co C 1/327 (Exhibits 352 - 394) and TFRP members failed to produce any witnesses to both versions of this allegation. Two TFRP members mentioned hearing a rumor that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) throat had been cut, however, they had no knowledge when this occurred or who or what unit was responsible for this. One other TFRP member related he heard from (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) and three former Co C members related they heard (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) was killed. None of them knew if those killings were intentional or a combat accident.

2. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) alleged that he witnessed or heard about the below appearing war crimes during his assignment with the TFRP. He declined to sign his written statement per advice of his legal counsel and because he feared his involvement in this investigation could cost him his job. SA (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) Columbus Resident Agency, First Region, USACIDC, Columbus, OH, outlined in a written statement that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) confirmed the written statement was true and factual.

a. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) alleged he was told by unidentified TFRP members, as he joined the unit, that an unknown (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) had cut the penis from a dead VN and sewed it between the eyes of the body's head. The body's head was doused with lighter fluid and set on fire and this scene was shown to an unknown news correspondent, who as a result, refused to accompany the TFRP on any more missions.

1LT (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 9th MI Co, Ft Lewis, WA (TFRP Jun 66 - Oct 67, SGT (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) related he heard that an unknown (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) committed such a mutilation. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) considered this a rumor and to his knowledge such an incident never occurred during his assignment to the unit.

SP4 (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) Hqs Trp, 3d Sqdn, 3d ARC, Ft Bliss, TX, (TFRP Nov 66 - May 67) related he heard from unidentified TFRP members as he joined the unit that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) the name of (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) committed such an act and that he wrote the words "Dick Head" with an ink pen on the forehead of the mutilated body.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) denied committing such an act and stated he heard such a rumor when he joined the unit.

30

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

SUBSTANTIATION (Continued)

Mr (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] (former 2LT, TF Cdr and Asst Cdr, Jun 66 - about Mar 67), Mr (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] (TFRP Jan - 15 May 67) and Mr (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] (TFRP unknown date - Jun 67 related they heard similar versions of such a mutilation and that the rumors were not connected with (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted]

b. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) alleged that sometime in May 67, around the time when HATTEN was KIA (6 May 67), TFRP members captured a male, suspected of having been a Chinese due to his large build, who carried explosives. The prisoner was beaten, then told to run and as he ran, he was shot and killed. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) did not witness the shooting of the prisoner, he saw him alive and later after he was killed.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] stated in a written statement a large build VN they suspected as a Chinese was taken prisoner during May 67. He was put to work, beaten up and later killed. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) had no knowledge who killed the prisoner or what the circumstances were that resulted in his death.

MSG (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] CSC, 1/41 Inf, 2d Armd Div, Ft Hood, TX (TF PSG Feb 67 - end of Jun 67) related that on or about 6 Mar 67, they captured two individuals one of which was suspected of having been a Chinese because of (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] One of the prisoners escaped into a river and swam into an underwater tunnel. While explosives were ordered to seal the tunnel (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) heard gunfire and then determined the suspected Chinese had been shot and killed by his guards. CPT (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] HHC, 1st Armd Div, APO NY 09326 (TF Cdr Mar or Apr - 15 May 67) questioned the guards and was told the prisoner attempted to escape. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) was unable to identify the guards and did not know if the prisoner was beaten.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] denied any knowledge of this allegation.

DA Form 1300 (Certificate of Death and BN S-3 Journals attest that HATTEN was KIA on 6 May 67 at Huang Phong Don (2) hamlet, Duc Pho District, Quang Ngai Province and that the incident related by (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) occurred about 0950 hrs, 8 May 67, along the Song Tra Cau river, Pho Tan, Duc Pho District, Quang Ngai Province.

c. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) alleged that the TFRP while crossing the Song Ve River during a night move encountered (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) unarmed VN farmer on a trail on the opposite side of the river. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) grabbed the (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) and while he was shaking and cursing him, (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) struck the VN on the head with the barrel of am M-16 rifle, knocking him to the ground. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) then pulled the (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) up and shot him in the face with a car - 15 rifle, blowing half of his head off and then (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) shot him a second time. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] RTO and stood beside (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) as the killing occurred.

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

36

SUBSTANTIATION (Continued)

He stated (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) was drunk at the time from beer that was supplied to the TFRP earlier that day and there was no justification to kill the (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) identified Mr (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (TFRP Jul 66 - Mar 68, former SGT and (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) as having direct knowledge of the incident.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) related he crossed the river behind (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) Command Post (CP) element when (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) was located on the trail. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) after being notified of the prisoner (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) arrived wit (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) who was the RTO, and a (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) striking the VN on the head with the barrel of a M-16 rifle because he declined to answer a question posed to him by (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) through the (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) hen returned to his element and about three minutes later he heard a shot and concluded the VN had been shot because he was screaming and giving their positions away (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) heard later (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) shot by (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) The Vietnamese was unarmed. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) was armed with a car - 15 rifle (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) denied that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) were drunk as the incident occurred. Two ambushes were set up later that night (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) participated in one ambush which resulted in one VC KIA. The following day, after returning to the west bank of the river, a unit member was WIA by stepping on a mine.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) related that the old Vietnamese started to scream in a loud and high pitched tone of voice after he was stopped on the trail. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) released the VN to the CP element and watched as (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) struck him on the head with the barrel of a M-16 rifle. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) returned to his element and about two minutes after his departure he heard a couple of shots from the direction of the CP element and suspected that the prisoner had been shot because he stopped screaming. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) returned to the CP element, saw (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) the ground, and presumed him dead. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) was later told that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) killed the man. He confirmed that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) consumed beer which had been supplied earlier that day and that they were drunk as the incident occurred. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) also stated that afterwards the unit moved in a northerly direction and set up an ambush, killing one VC, and that later during the day they crossed back over to the west bank of the river.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) related he crossed the river behind the CP element and he heard a couple of shots as he was coming up the opposite river bank. Later he passed the body (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) lying on a trail. He did not know what had occurred at that time, but later word was passed back to him that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) shot by (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) also related that this incident occurred approximately 10 days prior to the death of SGT Domingo MUNOZ (TFRP - KIA 28 Jul 67). (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) also related the Tiger Force was supplied with beer that day and that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) rossed the river.

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

SUBSTANTIATION (Continued)

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) stated in a written statement that he crossed the river immediately behind the CP element and as he reached the trail the lead element bumped into (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) and released him to the CP element. The farmer talked in a loud and shrill tone of voice and someone struck him with a rifle and knocked him to the ground. Then (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) shot the farmer with a car - 15 rifle. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) was within ten feet from (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) as he shot the man. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) also stated that the killing was unjustified and he vaguely recalled (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) being present. He confirmed that the Tiger Force was supplied with beer that day, however, he could not remember that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) were drunk as the incident occurred.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) former 2LT, attached to the Tiger Force as an Artillery Forward Observer, stated that on an afternoon, shortly after (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) assumed command, the Tiger Force received soda and beer rations while camped outside a small village. Later that evening (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) issued orders for the unit to cross the river to set up ambushes on the other side. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) stated he protested this order because the men had been drinking, however, (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) insisted that this mission be carried out. Later during the river crossing word filtered back that a prisoner had been taken up front. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) then went forward and as he was about 10 - 15 meters from the front he heard someone fire shots in the front of the column where (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) was. He thought about the prisoner and as he started to protest he was hit (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) who was behind him, on the side of the head and knocked to the ground and then he heard someone fire in his direction from the front. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) then went to the front of the column where (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) told him with a smile the words (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) never saw the body of the prisoner and he heard later numerous times that the prisoner was killed by (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) He stated that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) at the time and that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) the (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) was not. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) also felt (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) had knocked him to the ground to protect him from being shot because of his protest about the prisoner.

Mr (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (TFRP Jul 67 - Feb 68) stated that while crossing the river he heard shooting up front and when he passed by the location where he felt the shooting occurred he saw a dead person on the ground.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) related that he observed the body of an (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) on the trail and later he overheard (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) having a disagreement over that body. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) stated that someone in the Hqs element shot the man because he was making too much noise and that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) was (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) which was received earlier that afternoon. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) did not remember (b)(6) (b)(7)(C)

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

SUBSTANTIATION (Continued)

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) stated that he and another member were the point element during the river crossing when they saw (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) on the trail. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) then passed the man, who was unarmed and offered no resistance, to the rear. Several persons then formed a cluster around the prisoner and about five minutes later the man was shot with a car - 15 rifle and (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) saw the man fall to the ground. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) stated he saw only the rifle and not the person who fired. Also only one shot was fired at the prisoner. He later heard the man was shot by the Platoon Leader of the unit.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) was interviewed and orally related he joined the TFRP as the Cdr in beginning of Jul 67. After denying any knowledge of the murder, he declined to answer any further questions per advice of his legal counsel CPT (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] SJA Office, USA Element, USA Read Cmd, McDill AFB, FL. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) was advised of his rights by SA (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) and declined to make any oral or written statement concerning this investigation and requested counsel.

Bn S-3 Journals reflected that the only TFRP night movement during the the Song Ve Valley operations during Jun and Jul 67 with night ambushes occurred during the night of 23 - 24 Jul 67 and that only one TFRP member was WIA as a result of a mine on 24 Jul 67.

d. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) alleged that on the day following the river crossing incident members shot and killed a (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) VN male in a village who was presumed to have (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) because he was dressed with a grey or black robe which was tied together with a braided belt with tassels and because he was wearing a small black cap. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) did not witness the killing. He saw the body and was told that the VN had walked into the village and that he was shot for no particular reason. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) also stated that someone planted a grenade on the body to make him look like an enemy because it was feared that friendly VN's (CIDG forces operating with the Tiger Force) would complain if they became aware that a priest was killed.

Interviews of TFRP members assigned during that period revealed no information on such an incident.

e. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) alleged that during the following night after the river crossing incident, an unarmed VN male (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) Tiger Force night perimeter. Someone called (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) stated that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) witnessed (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) the VN three times with a cal .45 pistol and as he dumped the body in a large hole in the ground. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) stated the cal .45 pistol used (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) was assigned to (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted]

34

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

SUBSTANTIATION (Continued)

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) stated that the night after (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) killed the farmer a VN male was shot by attached CIDG forces as he entered the Tiger Force perimeter. Someone yelled for (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) and (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) went to where the (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) could not recall (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) further stated that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) implied to him to kill the VN with the words to the effect (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) After (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) declined, (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) shot and killed the VN with (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) cal .45 pistol. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) also stated that the incident in his opinion was a mercy killing because the VN would not have lived due to the seriousness of his injuries.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) stated that about 2000 hours on the evening after the river crossing they occupied a village when a VN male was shot (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) by attached CIDG forces as he entered the Tiger Force perimeter (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) told (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) to cover the (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) also stated he knew at the time that the man would be killed because this was a normal occurrance when it (b)(6) (b)(7)(C)

f. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) alleged that during Jun or Jul 67, in a village along the Song Ve River, he found in his position the body of a dead NVA male with the throat cut and the scalp removed and that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) laughingly told him, in the presence of GREEN, that he had cut the individual's throat after he and GREEN found him hiding outside the village. A few days later (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) showed (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) a scalp and told him he had taken it from that body. He also saw (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) displaying the scalp to (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) and other unidentified individuals and later (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) overheard (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) mentioning he had to throw the scalp away because it had started to smell.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) was interviewed and denied any knowledge of such an incident. He recalled seeing a scalp in a plastic bag and that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) objected to this. He could not provide any additional information concerning this scalp.

g. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) alleged that he was walking pointman for his team during a reconnaissance patrol when (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) who was walking as flank security, killed an unarmed VN male, about (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) by shooting him twice with an M-16 rifle. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) then cut the ears from the body. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) also stated he asked (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) why he shot the boy and (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) replied that he shot him because he was a VN and because he wanted the tennis shoes the VN was wearing.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) stated he heard (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) killed a (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) who was wearing a pair of tennis shoes. He did not hear that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) cut the ears from the body.

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

SUBSTANTIATION (Continued)

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) the (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) during that patrol, related that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) while walking right flank security, shot and killed an unarmed VN male, (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) after the individual broke into a run and failed to heed (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) warning to stop (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) stated the man wore Ho Chi Minh type sandals and that he could not recall seeing (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) cutting the ears from the body. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) considered the shooting of the VN legal and stated that he radioed in a body count.

h. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) alleged that during Jun or Jul 67, west of Duc Pho, he saw the body of a dead prisoner and was told by other unit members that VARNEY bet with (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) he could knock out the prisoner with one punch. VARNEY then struck the prisoner and after he was unable to knock him out VARNEY placed his knife against the prisoner's throat. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) then struck the prisoner's head from behind and as a result impaled his throat on VARNEY's knife. The prisoner bled to death. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) related he was told about this by members who were present as it occurred and everyone thought it was funny. As a result VARNEY was nicknamed "One Punch VARNEY". (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) also stated that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) was present as this occurred.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) stated in a sworn written statement that on an unknown date in the Song Ve Valley, his patrol received a NVA prisoner from another team to pass him on to the Hq team. During the interrogation by the VN scout (interpreter) VARNEY struck the prisoner once in the face and knocked him to the ground. The prisoner stood up again and the VN scout took out his bayonet and handed it to VARNEY. VARNEY then held the bayonet point against the prisoner's throat and grabbed his hair with the other hand. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) stated that either VARNEY then pushed the man's head forward or someone else pushed his head into the bayonet. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) could not see exactly what had occurred because people were standing around blocking his view. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) saw the prisoner fall to the ground and after gathering his gear to move out, he walked past the prisoner and saw that he was dead and bleeding from the throat. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) believes VARNEY was called "one punch VARNEY". (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) could not recall (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) by name or as a person. The description of the area furnished by (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) indicated this incident occurred in the Song Ve Valley.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) stated VARNEY told him once that he had attempted to knock out a prisoner and as a result had almost broken his hand. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) denied any knowledge of the prisoner killing.

Mr (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (TFRP May - 24 Jul 67) related he was present when VARNEY hit a prisoner after boasting he could knock him out with one blow. According to (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) the prisoner was returned to another group of prisoners and that did not push the prisoner's head into the bayonet.

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

SUBSTANTIATION (Continued)

Mr (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) TFRP about Jul 66 - beginning of Jul 67) and SSG (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) D Trp 4/7 Cav, 2d Inf Div, APO SF 96251 (TFRP Aug 67 - Jan 68) stated that VARNEY was connected with that nickname. Both denied any knowledge of the prisoner killing.

i. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) alleged that either during Jun or Jul 67, west of Duc Pho, or sometime later in an area west of Chu Lai, they had held a VN male detainee, about (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) for several days. The unit at the time was in the process of breaking camp and was awaiting an airlift from the area. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) saw (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) walking the prisoner a few feet away from the camp and after hearing a shot (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) walked over and saw that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) d shot and killed the prisoner with a short barrelled cal .38 revolver. [redacted] related initially that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) had mentioned to him that he was told by (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) to get rid of the prisoner, however, later during the interview (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) claimed he overheard [redacted] telling (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) to dispose of the prisoner.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) related he remembered this execution was carried out by one of the TFRP members with his personal hand gun. He did not know who was responsible for this or if anyone ordered the execution. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) stated it was unwritten law in the unit to kill prisoners under their control whenever they became a burden prior to moving to another location.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) while interviewed about (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) allegation, declined to [illegible] any further statements.

Interviews of other TFRP members assigned during that period failed to identify anyone who witnessed this execution.

Mr (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (TFRP Oct 66 - Nov 67) and (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) stated that enemy prisoners were sometimes disposed of by killing whenever it was inconvenient to extract them through proper channels. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) stated that at times prisoners just disappeared or were killed during the night and that no one was concerned if such a body was found the next morning. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) during his initial interview denied any such knowledge, related that they lost a lot of prisoners during that period and that he suspected they were killed and then declined to make any further statements concerning this issue. Other than their knowledge of the allegation in this report, none of the aforementioned persons, including (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) provided any further specific details of such practices.

j. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) alleged that in Jun or Jul 67 in the same area where (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) killed and scalped the NVA (allegation 3f) the lead element of the TFRP came under enemy fire from a hamlet which was located about 100 yards directly to their front.

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

SUBSTANTIATION (Continued)

The platoon then opened fire on several VN farmers, who appeared unarmed and who were located in fields to the right of the hamlet and within an area of 100 to 400 yards off the right flank of the Tiger Force. The unit found several pieces of ammunition and enemy canteens in the hamlet and then set up camp. According to (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) no one went out to the field to see what had happened to the farmers that were shot or if they had any weapons; yet (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) estimated that about ten male and female farmers were killed as a result of the shooting. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) further alleged that prior to the incident (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) passed the order to the rear that in the event fire was received from that hamlet the farmers to the right flank were to be shot. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) stated (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] that this order was passed on to him by the man in front of him. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) did not personally hear (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) issue that order. He also stated that he did not fire his weapon at the farmers.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) stated that some farmers to their right flank were working in fields outside a village and that orders were passed to open fire as they started running away. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) did not know if the farmers were killed as a result and felt that they were out of firing range and had escaped into the jungle.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) stated during two interviews that in the Song Ve Valley the Tiger Force CP element and another element encountered a group of about ten farmers, male and female, walking along a path leading across a rice field nearby a village. He saw no indications that they were hostile and no enemy fire was received from the village. As the farmers started running they were shot with M-16's and M-60 by the two elements. Also shot were some water buffaloes. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) stated he saw the farmers falling down, however, no one went out to see if any of them were killed, wounded and in need of medical attention, or if they had any weapons. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) stated the farmers were shot by mutual agreement of the members present and that he knows of no reason why they were shot other than that the area was a free fire zone. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) was present as the incident occurred. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) was not. The farmers were located about 300 meters to the left of the Tiger Force as they were shot and according to (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) they were within firing range and he didn't see any of them escaping while they were running.

k. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) alleged that during Jul 67, in an area west of Duc Pho, the Tiger Force was preparing to move on foot to another location when one of the RTO's, whose identity he could not recall, radioed the 1st Bn, 327th Inf TOC and requested disposition instructions on a prisoner held by the unit. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) stated that the TOC replied with words: (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) heard the reply himself and afterwards the prisoner disappeared. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) did not know what happened to the prisoner and presumed he was killed because no one released him and the unit did not take him along on the move.

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

SUBSTANTIATION (Continued)

Interviews of TFRP members assigned during that period failed to identify any witnesses who heard such a radio transmission or witnessed the disposal of such a prisoner.

1. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) alleged that in Jul or Aug 67, west of Chu Lai a VN male, about (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) was shot and killed by TFRP members while running from a hootch with a rucksack containing enemy documents on his back. A search of the hootch produced an (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) of the man killed. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) then ordered (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) kill the (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) took the Vietnamese behind the hootch and shot him through the mouth with a cal .45 pistol and left him lying on the ground. After noting the VN was still moving (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) told (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) he was still alive and (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) shot him a second time, killing him.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) related a young Vietnamese was shot in the Chu Lai area as he was running from a hootch with a rucksack on his back and that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) was discovered in the hootch. The (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) was taken outside and shot twice with a hand gun. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) did not know who killed the man. The CP element was in the immediate area where the incident occurred and someone was joking to the effect that it had taken two shots to kill him. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) did not know (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) by name or as a person.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) stated that sometime in Aug (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) VN male was shot and killed as he was seen running away from a hootch. An (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) wearing a white hat was shot by a combat engineer twice in the head with a cal .45 pistol. The (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) was still alive after the first shot and had to be shot a second time to be killed. He could not recall the name of the combat engineer responsible for the murder and was not sure if (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) was present as this occurred. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) also clarified that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) was one of the two combat engineers assigned to the TFRP at the time.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) related in a written statement that sometime during the end of Sep or Oct 67, he borrowed (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) cal .45 pistol to check out some tunnel bunkers located near one or two hootches. Later he observed (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) guarding an (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) in (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) and after returning the pistol to (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) heard three cal .45 pistol shots. He then concluded that the (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) had been killed. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) did not witness this killing and never saw the body of the (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) He stated later someone was joking and told him that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) could not even kill a man while standing in front of him. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) further stated he saw the body (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) Vietnamese at that location who had been shot because he attempted to run away and that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) was present when the incident occurred.

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

SUBSTANTIATION (Continued)

m. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) alleged that sometime in 1967, west of Chu Lai, the Tiger Force held two male prisoners captive in a temporary camp. The prisoners were put to work digging fighting positions. He observed as (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) tied both prisoners up with detonating cord and then blew up a tree in front of them to which he had attached another piece of the same cord to demonstrate to them the consequences if they gave him any trouble. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) further stated that he observed as (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) shot and killed one of the prisoners with an M-16 rifle and that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) justified this act with the excuse that the prisoner tried to escape. According to (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) this (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) further alleged he witnessed as (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) beat one of the prisoners with a shovel to the extent that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) to help bury the prisoner killed by (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) stated this occurred around the time PFC James A. MESSER, TFRP member, was KIA (22 Aug 67).

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) stated that sometime in Aug 67, three prisoners were brought in to the TFRP camp which was located on a hill opposite and at the same elevation level from the TOC, 1st Bn, 327th Inf, on another hill. On that day the prisoners were put to work digging fighting positions and one prisoner (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) That night the prisoners were split up and guarded by different elements and one prisoner managed to escape. The following morning word was passed (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) that the prisoner who was beaten with the shovel be given a chance to escape to preclude possible repercussions from the severe beating. Someone told the prisoner that he was free to go and as he moved downhill (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) observed (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) shooting the prisoner in the head with an M-16 rifle at a distance from about 35 - 50 yards. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) also stated in his opinion (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) would not have shot the prisoner if someone with authority had not told him to do so. The third prisoner was extracted to a rear collection point on the same day his Bn commander's helicopter was shot down in that vicinity and when MESSER was killed. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) had no knowledge that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) tied two prisoners up with detonating cord.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) stated the Tiger Force held three prisoners at that time and that he was told one of them was shot and killed while trying to escape. The other prisoner actually managed to escape and the third prisoner was (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) did not know if this was the same prisoner who was killed for attempting to escape. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) had no knowledge that the prisoners were tied by anyone with detonating cord.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) stated in a written statement that three prisoners were brought into the Tiger Force camp and that he guarded these prisoners the following morning while they were digging fighting positions. About 1030 hrs, during a work-break, one of the prisoners ran down the hill and (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) yelled in Vietnamese for the prisoner to stop and he fired one warning shot with his

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

SUBSTANTIATION (Continued)

M-16 rifle. After the prisoner continued to run [redacted] fired at him and struck him in the back of the neck resulting in the prisoner's immediate death. [redacted] denied killing the prisoner without justification and he maintained the prisoner attempted to escape and that he shot him because there was no other way to stop him. [redacted] denied that he was told by anyone to kill the prisoner. He further stated the prisoners [redacted] Someone had beaten two of the prisoners during the previous night and one of them [redacted] could not recall if [redacted] He denied beating any of the prisoners with a shovel or similar device and denied tying any of the prisoners with detonating cord and exploding another piece of detinating cord in front of them. He confirmed that around that time another prisoner successfully managed to escape from one of the elements and that his Bn Commander's helicopter was shot down by enemy fire.

[redacted] was administered a polygraph examination by SA [redacted] Ft Bliss FO, Sixth Rgn, USACIDC.

The prisoner, according to S-3 Journals, was shot during an escape attempt at about 1230 hrs, 21 Aug 67 during [redacted] (15 - 29 Aug 67). The other prisoner escaped at about 2200 hrs, 25 Aug 67 in the same vicinity and [redacted] command helicopter was shot down at about 1146 hrs, 22 Aug 67.

n. [redacted] alleged that sometime after 17 Aug 67, in the Que Son Valley between Tam Ky and Chu Lai while the TFRP searched a village, [redacted] noted a [redacted] Afterwards the unit moved on and set up camp at a nearby hilltop. Less than an hour later [redacted] and GREEN bragged to [redacted] that they forced [redacted] did not see the body of the female allegedly killed by [redacted]

[redacted] stated he heard a rumor that a girl performed an act of oral sodomy on someone and that her throat was cut. He never heard [redacted] and GREEN talk about this.

[redacted] stated he heard that [redacted] forced a [redacted] commit this act on him and [redacted] could not recall that GREEN's name was connected with this rumor.

[redacted] stated that he vaguely remembered [redacted] talking about killing a female after he either seduced her or forced her to an act of oral sodomy. [redacted] could not recall that GREEN was mentioned in connection with this.

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

SUBSTANTIATION (Continued)

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) stated he heard a rumor that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) that afterwards she was (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (TFRP expression for being killed).

3. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) alleged that either during Jun or Jul 67 he was bathing beside (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) in the Song Ve River when (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) emptied several human ears attached to a string and about (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) from a ration bag on a rock. After washing out the bag (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) replaced the ears and teeth and secured the bag in his jacket. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) also alleged he had seen (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) on several occasions as he cut off the ears from dead enemy bodies.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) stated he observed (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) cutting ears from the dead two or three times and that he kept the ears he collected in a plastic bag (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) also possessed a string with human ears. He had no knowledge that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) collected (b)(6) (b)(7)(C)

SP4 (b)(6) (b)(7)(C), Co B, 1/30th Inf, APO NY 09033 (former member of Co C, 1/327) related while being interviewed about the (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) allegation he saw (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) on two occasions in Jul and Aug 67 wearing a string with human ears around his neck and that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) told him that the VC's believed they would not go to heaven if their bodies were mutilated.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) stated he never saw (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) in possession of ears on a string but he observed such a necklace on an Army cot inside a hootch occupied by (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) and that it was rumored all over the battalion that those ears belonged to (b)(6) (b)(7)(C)

Mr (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (former member of HHC 1/327 only) related that while he was training as an RTO during Nov 67 he saw (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) wearing what appeared to him as four dried prunes on a string around his neck and that he was told by other unit members that they were human ears taken from the VC.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) stated he saw sometime between Jun and Sep 67 an ear on a string sticking out of the shirt (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) was wearing.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) stated he saw (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) during operation (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) cutting an ear from a dead male body with a straight razor. He saw (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) with a ration bag that contained a number of ears. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) also possessed a cloth bag that contained a handful (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) and (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) told (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) the teeth were kicked from bodies and that he planned to take them home (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C)

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

SUBSTANTIATION (Continued)

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) while being interviewed about (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) allegation, stated he saw (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) on one occasion in possession of a set of human ears which had a fresh appearance. He had no knowledge how (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) obtained those ears.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) stated that shortly prior to GREEN's death he saw (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) in possession of a liquid filled jar containing two ears.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) alleged he saw (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) in the Duc Pho area carrying a scalp braided to the front of his rifle and that only the hair was visible.

Mr (b)(6) (b)(7)(C), (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (TFRP May 67 - early 68) related he observed (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) at an unknown date location cutting some hair from a Vietnamese woman with a knife and tying it by means of a hand grenade pin to his rifle. Other than that the female was not harmed by (b)(6) (b)(7)(C)

SSG (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) HHC, USAG, Ft Bragg, NC (TFRP Jul - Sep 67) related he saw approximately 6 to 8 inches of black hair without any portions of a scalp braided to a rifle.

p. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) alleged that during his assignment the mutilating of dead enemy corpses was an accepted practice in the unit. He saw unknown TFRP members on numerous occasions cutting the throats of the dead or cutting the ears off and inserting ace of spades playing cards into those wounds. The only person he could recall by name, besides (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) who committed such an act was GREEN. He observed GREEN, shortly prior to his death on 27 Sep 67, cutting an ear from a dead body after a firefight. After unknown 1/327 officers threatened to court-martial unit members if the practice did not stop he observed about seven individuals committing such acts. The mutilations were carried out and the cards were used because of VN superstitious beliefs about such practices.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) the former TF Cdr, related that during Sep 66 he saw a dead NVA male with an ear cut from the body and he observed several unidentified unit members in possession of that ear. He never learned who cut the ear off and neither he nor CPT (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (TF Cdr at the time, not further identified) ever reported this incident. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) denied that ace of spades playing cards were used.

SFC (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) Main Recruiting Station, Ft Wadsworth, Staten Island, NY (TF PSG and team leader from Aug 66 - Mar 67) related he observed during Nov 66 a dead enemy soldier with an ear missing. He did not know who cut the ear off and denied that the cards were used.

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

SUBSTANTIATION (Continued)

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) related he heard only rumors that ears were cut off. Beginning of May 67, someone found an ear on the helicopter used to evacuate the remains of (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) and other wounded unit members to the rear. After it was determined that the ear was not severed from anyone in the (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) executed a written affidavit that neither of them had mutilated a dead body.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) related he witnessed HATTEN cutting an ear from a body on the same day he was KIA. After HATTEN's remains and other wounded were evacuated to the rear, the TFRP received some "static" about a human ear that was found on the helicopter by some unidentified Major. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) could not recall signing an affidavit. The taking of ears was not common in the unit and the ace of spades cards were left in the wounds of bodies as a psychological weapon to keep the Vietnamese away from the dead.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) related that during early May 67, after HATTEN's remains and other wounded unit members were medically evacuated, he was approached by the Bn SGM and questioned as to who was responsible for the ear that was found on the helicopter. Other than that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) denied any knowledge that such mutilations occurred.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) related that body mutilations were committed by unidentified TFRP members and condoned in the unit and that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) were aware of such acts. He confirmed that the ace of spades playing cards were placed on the dead.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) who initially denied such knowledge, admitted during a re-interview that ears were cut from bodies. He declined to furnish any further information on this issue.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) related that only a few members, whose names he could not recall, committed such acts. Sometime during Aug or Sep 67 word was passed down that mutilations had to stop.

Mr (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (TFRP Sep 66 - Apr 67) and Mr (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) State Police Bks, Dartmouth, MA (TFRP Jun - Aug 67) related they saw unidentified members of the unit in possession of human ears.

Mr (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (TFRP Feb - Aug 67) related it was common practice for unit members to cut at least an ear or the joint of a finger from dead bodies and to leave the dismembered parts either with, or in the general vicinity of the corpses. Ace of spades cards were dropped or placed on bodies in no specific way.

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

SUBSTANTIATION (Continued)

Mr (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (TFRP late 66 - Aug 67) related he saw some unit members, he could not identify, cutting ears from bodies and in possession of collections of human ears, scalps, (b)(6) (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) related he never witnessed anyone cutting ears from bodies or the throats of the dead. He observed that ace of spades cards were placed into the mouths and tacked to the foreheads and chest of the corpses with thumbtacks.

Mr (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (TFRP Aug 67 - Jan 68) related he saw unknown unit members in possession of human ears and numerous bodies with cut throats and missing ears. He never saw any cards that were inserted into the body wounds. The unit officers and senior NOC's had known about the mutilated conditions of the corpses.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) admitted during a re-interview that mutilations were common and accepted and that the playing cards were inserted into the wounds of the bodies.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) related he saw on one occasion an ear in a jar, but could not recall who owned it.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) related a number of TFRP members had ear and gold teeth collections and the practice of cutting ears off was common through Jul 67. Ace of spades cards were placed either on or in the bodies and such practices were known by officials and ignored. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) related they observed (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) possession of a jar with two ears in it.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) declined to be interviewed.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) related that sometime in the beginning of Sep 67 he saw an (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) possession of a human ear in a plastic bag and (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) claimed he had cut the ear from a "gook".

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) and Mr (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (TF Cdr 15 Jun - 3 Jul 67) related they had no knowledge of mutilations while they commanded the unit. Mr (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (TF Cdr May - 15 Jun 67), (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) declined to be interviewed. Efforts to fully identify and to locate former 1LT (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) TF Cdr prior (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) were negative.

45

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

SUBSTANTIATION (Continued)

Dr (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) Nov 66 - Nov 67) related he heard rumors once that an unknown TF Cdr admonished his men after finding several mutilated bodies. He heard several rumors that TFRP members wore ears around their necks and collected ears, (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) and scalps, but he never saw anyone in possession of dismembered body parts. He related that the subject of mutilations was swept under the rug and not openly talked about because one didn't want to find out if the rumors were true or not.

Several of the 1/327 officers that were interviewed related they heard rumors that mutilations had occurred and that they saw the ace of spades cards used in the battalion but not in the alleged manner. On one occasion a directive from 1st Bde, 101st Abn Div, concerning body mutilations and threatening courtmartials in such cases was passed on to the troops. None of the officers interviewed related that they ever threatened the TFRP with such action or that they ever saw a mutilated body.

COI (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted], (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) Stu Det, USA War College, Carlisle Bks, PA (1/327 XO Jul 67 - Jan 68) and LTC (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) AFEES, New Cumberland Depot, New Cumberland, PA (1/327 S-3) related they were not aware of any mutilations, and that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] did not tolerate the use of such cards in the Bn.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] related he had no knowledge of any body mutilations during his tenure as Cdr and no one ever reported such acts to him. As he assumed command he learned that some Bn had used the cards and after seeing one of his troops in possession of such a card he ordered the practice stopped immediately. If the cards were used after his order it was without his knowledge. He had no knowledge that the VN were superstitious of the cards.

A photograph of an ace of spades card, retained by (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] as a souvenir, was obtained.

q. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] alleged that in Nov 67, during combat operation (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] he overheard a radio transmission to the TFRP by (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] call sign of (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] that a certain amount of additional bodies were needed to make up for a body count number of 327, their unit designation. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] did not know if (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] made this transmission himself and he could not recall how many additional kills were requested or if in fact any additional kills were obtained as a result of this transmission. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] also stated this radio transmission was answered by someone from the TFRP with words to the effect: (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted]

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

SUBSTANTIATION (Continued)

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) related that after he returned from leave (4 Nov 67) the entire Bn was shooting for a count of 327. The TFRP reported the 327th enemy killed during that operation. He had no knowledge that such a body count was requested by (b)(6) (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) stated that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) requested dead enemies for a body count of 327 and that the person with the 327th kill was to receive some type of award.

CPT (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 10th Co, 1st Bn, TSB, USAIS, Ft Benning, GA (Bn Spt Plt Ldr Sep 67 - Jan 68 and S-4 15 Mar 68) related that while northwest of Tam Ky he monitored a call to the TOC by the late (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (then TFRP Cdr) reporting a body count, which turned out to be the 327th enemy killed during that operation. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) stated he had no knowledge that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) solicited such a body count.

CPT (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) CGSC, Ft Leavenworth, KS (S-1 and Bn TOC Duty Officer) related that at Phan Rang, after the Chu Lai - Tam Ky operation (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) requested the preparation of a memorandum for record concerning the rules of engagement. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) thought this was an odd procedure at the time and he felt (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) was concerned about the low number of enemy weapons captured in comparison to the enemy body count.

None of the other 1/327 officers that were interviewed related they had any knowledge that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) ever solicited such an enemy body count figure.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) denied having solicited such a body count from the TFRP and that he ever promised an award to anyone for achieving the 327th kill. He had no knowledge that any of his units reported the 327th enemy killed during (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) He related that he discussed daily on the radio with his Cdr's their results of enemy contacts and that body count figures were not important factors during such discussions.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (11 Sep - 25 Nov 67) according to unit military history records was the only 1/327 operation in 1967 with an enemy body count in excess of 327. According to S-3 journals the TFRP reported the 327th enemy killed at 0725 hrs, 19 Nov 67. The journals further reflected that during the period 11 - 21 Nov 67 the TFRP reported 38 enemy contacts which resulted in 48 enemies killed and no weapons captured and 6 additional contacts which resulted in 6 enemy killed and the capture of 3 detainees, 1 M-1 rifle, 1 grenade, enemy documents and medical supplies.

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

SUBSTANTIATION (Continued)

3. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) alleged during three interviews that in Aug/Sep 67 around Duc Pho, he accompanied a patrol as a medic which was led by (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) and consisted of about 8 or 9 men. The patrol came upon two VN males (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) standing beside one or two hootches. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) and (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) struck one of the male detainees several times with the barrel of an M-16 rifle while interrogating him with an attached RVN SGT as to the whereabouts of VC's in the area and after the man continued to deny any knowledge (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) shot him in the right forearm with his rifle. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) walked away, and after hearing (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) behind making the statement "shoot him", heard several persons open fire with M-16 rifles. Also an M-70 grenade launcher was fired. Later (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) saw the VN lying on the ground and assumed he was dead. A search of the area did not discover any evidence that those VN's were associated with the enemy. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) did not know what happened to the other detainee and presumed that he was extracted from the area. He was unable to identify any members of (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) team. He identified (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) from a photograph.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) was administered a polygraph examination by S (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) Ft Bliss FO, Sixth Region, USACIDC, Ft Bliss, TX.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) stated that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) told him about the incident after returning from that mission.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) related that during the end of Aug 67 his patrol, led by (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) at the time), met up with another 8 man patrol, led by (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) outside a large sized village. Three or four VN, wearin NVA type helmets, were sighted by a hut about 75 yards away and after both patrols approached the hut the suspected NVA's surfaced by a second hut. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) then called artillery fire (which was later delivered) into a wooded area the NVA suspects had disappeared in. A (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) an (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) and (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) exited the first hootch holding US distributed evacuation leaflets. While conversing with the (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) from (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) team, the (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) about (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) suddenly appeared from a hidden tunnel close to the hootch. He was also holding evacuation leaflets. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) and another member from (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) team then repeatedly hit this detainee and knocked him down in an attempt to gain information from him. During this interrogation (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) shot the detainee in the forearm with a rifle. The (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) from (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) team then suggested they get the (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) who was hiding in the second hootch because his presence might convince (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) that he had told them the truth. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) located the (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) about (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) both (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) in a tunnel by the second hootch and as he escorted them back he heard the sound of gunfire. Also an M-79 grenade launcher was fired and the grenade never detonated. As (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) escorted the (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) over to his team he saw the (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) lying face down on the ground with a head wound and numerous bullet holes all over the body. The (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) from

H8

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

SUBSTANTIATION (Continued)

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) team came over to (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) team and hit the detainee, dragged him away, threw him on the ground next to (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) and then repeatedly hit and kicked him in an effort to make him lie down. As (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) pointed a cal .45 pistol at the detainee's head (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) interfered and escorted the detainee back to his own team. Again the (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) came up and hit the VN and pointed the cal .45 pistol at him. This time (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) threatened to shoot the tall man with his M-16 if he "fired up" the detainee. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) told (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) not to interfere and all action stopped at this time. The members of (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) team did not participate in the incident and acted as if they didn't want to get involved. Both patrols then departed the area with (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) in custody of (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) team, leaving the body of (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) and the remainder of the families behind. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) related he did not see (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) striking the prisoner and he did not know if anyone ordered the execution as alleged by (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) was unable to identify any of the persons who participated or witnessed the incident.

The following day, after the (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) flown to the rear with other prisoners, Mr (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) retired MSG (TF PSG after (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) until beginning of 1968) told (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) to forget the incident because it would be taken care of. Approximately two weeks later, in a different area, near Tam Ky, one day after two TFRP members were KIA and three other wounded during a mine explosion (20 Sep 67) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) after witnessing allegation 10, told (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) he wanted to leave the platoon. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) told (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) he was fed up with him because of his threat to shoot the other TFRP member and he accused (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) of blowing thing out of proportion because the inciden had not been that important. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) was flown to the rear and made to appear in front of the HHC 1/327 Cdr to whom (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) delivered a letter written by (b)(6) (b)(7)(C). The Cdr yelled at (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) and (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) of his threat to shoot the other TFRP member. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) then reported the incident to that Cdr. The unit 1SG intervened in (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) behalf because of his good job performance while he was in Co A 1/327. Later the same (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) visited (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) and inquired if he had any personal problems. He never discussed the (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) incident with the (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) After the (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) departure, (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) explained the incident again to the Cdr. The following day he was reassigned for duty with the 1/327 S-4 section. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) could not recall the names of the (b)(6) (b)(7)(C)

During a re-interview (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) related that he discussed the (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) incident while he was working in S-4 with a LT (b)(6) (b)(7)(C), believed to have been (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) Also he heard rumors that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) was relieved from the TFRP and reassigned by the Bn Cdr due to his misconduct in the field.

49

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

SUBSTANTIATION (Continued)

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) declined to answer any questions pertaining to this incident. He orally related he was not relieved from the TFRP by anyone and that he departed the unit on his own decision on an unknown date in 1967.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (HHC Cdr from Jun - Nov 67) neither confirmed or denied that such an incident was ever reported to him by (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) He indicated during an oral interview that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) and that he thought he recalled a letter abou that was hand carried by someone. As a written statement was obtained from him he denied any knowledge about (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) or the letter.

SA (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) Jr, Ft Lewis FO, Sixth Region, USACIDC, Ft Lewis, WA, rendered a report concerning his attempts to examine (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) on a polygraph.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) the PSG, and Mr (b)(6) (b)(7)(C), (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) retired MSG and HHC 1/327 LSG during the time in question denied any knowledge of such a report. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) related he could not recall (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) working in S-4 or that anyone ever told him about such an incident.

MAJ (Chaplain) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (1/327 Chaplain Jul 67 - Jan 68) stated he had no knowledge of such an incident or of having ever assisted the HHC Cdr in the manner alleged. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) Sep 67 and his area was supported during his absence by either COL (Chaplain) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) Office of the USA Chief of Chaplains, Washington, D.C;, MAJ (Chaplain) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) Co A, 193d Inf Bde, Ft (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) MAJ (Chaplain) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) Hqs 2d Bde, 2d Inf, Ft Carson, CO, or former CPT (Chaplain) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) related they had no knowledge of such an incident. Efforts to locate the whereabouts of (b)(6) (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) related he had no knowledge of this incident and never received such a report from (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) He remembered (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) but no (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) and he never received any reports of any misconduct in the field by (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) and could not recall tha (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) was reassigned from the TFRP to another unit for any reasons. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) stated he would have initiated an investigation, and preferred charges if warranted, had this come to his attention.

Morning Reports and S-3 Journals established that the mine explosion related by (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) occurred at grid coordinates BT 035218 at about 1310 hrs, 20 Sep 67 and that SP4 (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (TFRP since about Jun 67) was KIA and Mr (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (TFRP Apr - Sep 67), Mr (b)(6) (b)(7)(C), (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) SP4 (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) and SP4 (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (TFRP Aug - May 68) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C)

50

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

SUBSTANTIATION (Continued)

4. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) alleged in a sworn written statement that during Jul 67 he overheard a radio transmission from battalion to treat a male prisoner in their custody (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (as in 2k). After an officer, whom he believed was (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) told GREEN to take care of the prisoner, (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) saw GREEN jabbing the tied and gagged prisoner in the throat with a knife and torturing him by inflicting several cuts before fully slashing his throat. Several unit members witnessed this incident. During a second interview (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) claimed it happened in Jun 67 and that the officer was (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) He admitted he never heard the radio transmission about (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) but maintained that the officer, after making a radio transmission, told GREEN to dispose of the prisoner in the manner alleged.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) was administered a polygraph examination by SA (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) Polygraph Committee, Ft Gordon, GA.

Interviews of TFRP members assigned during that period failed to reveal any further information about such an incident.

5. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) alleged in a sworn written statement that on an unknown date in Jul 67, in the Song Ve Valley, (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) and GREEN escorted a male prisoner away from the TFRP main body which was forming up to move out, to take him to a helicopter pick-up point. About ten minutes later (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) and GREEN returned without the prisoner and GREEN made the statement in front of (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) and others that YBARRA had cut the prisoner's throat (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) noted was smiling and holding a knife which was covered with blood. The helicopter was never called to pick up the prisoner and (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) reported through an unknown RTO that the prisoner was shot while attempting to escape. After the incident the unit moved out to another location.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) stated that the unit held a male VC sympathizer prisoner in the Song Ve Valley. The unit was ready to move to another area when (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) saw GREEN and (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) walking down the already formed column and he overheard GREEN making the statement that YBARRA had cut the prisoner's throat. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) holding a knife which had what appeared (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) did not see as the prisoner was taken away from the unit. As the unit moved out the prisoner was not with them. In his opinion the prisoner was killed in the manner mentioned by GREEN. There was no helicopter in the area. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) was present as this occurred. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) did not know if a body count was submitted on the prisoner.

6. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) related that on 18 Jul 67, after the entire TFRP was re-supplied in an abandoned village in the Song Ve Valley, he (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) the (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) and Mr (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (TFRP Jun - Nov 67) and another person he could not remember by name, set up an ambush in the village. They booby trapped a case of C - rations with a claymore mine and rigged a hand grenade inside a nearby garbage dump. The remainder of the

51

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

SUBSTANTIATION (Continued)

TFRP then moved out to another location. At dusk about 10 VC's entered the village (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) alerted the main body of the force by radio. The mine and the hand grenade detonated and killed two VC's and a third VC was seriously injured in the lower extremities of his body. The other VC's fled. Later (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) arrived at the scene leading one or two elements. The wounded VC had lost a lot of blood and after attempting to converse with the members present he surrendered a pocket knife as a gesture of total surrender. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) alleged he was about 20 feet away from (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) when he saw (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) kill the wounded VC on the ground by shooting him with his assigned car - 15 rifle from a distance of about four feet. The unit remained in the area overnight and departed the next morning leaving the three unburied bodies behind.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) admitted during a re-interview he could not honestly say that he saw (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) shooting the wounded prisoner. He maintained he saw (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) pointing his rifle at close range at the prisoner and then he heard the report of a rifle being fired. Also there were several persons at the time standing around the prisoner with (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) one of them might have been (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) It was dark and the lighting of the stars and the moon were poor (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) also maintained that according to the circumstances at the time he believes the prisoner was shot by (b)(6) (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) furnished a copy of a letter (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) written and dated 19 Jul 67 in which he referred to the ambush as having occurred on 18 Jul 67. He also provided a copy of a news article from the 19 Aug 67 edition of the Army Reporter, which reflected that he, (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) participated in the ambush and that 5 VC's were killed and 3 weapons and 3 rucksacks captured. Those documents and Bn S-3 Journeals substantiate that the ambush occurred at about 2207 hrs, at Ky Tho Mot Village, Song Ve Valley.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) was administered two polygraph examinations by SA (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) Ft Dix Field Office, First Region, USACIDC, and one polygraph examination by SA (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) Polygraph Division, US Army Crime Records Directorate, Ft Holabird, MD.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) related that he participated in the ambush. About three hours prior to dusk the main body of the force departed the village. The team booby trapped three or four cases of C - rations and the area in which they had thrown their refuse with claymore mines and hand grenades. Just after dusk they heard noises in the village and one of their hand grenades exploded. About 15 minutes later another ambush grenade exploded. Two or three VC's approached the C- ration stack (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) passed the word for the man with the detonator to explode the claymore. As it blew up two of the VC's fell, one of them was killed instantly and (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) Shortly thereafter (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) and the rest of the force entered the village (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) inspected the VC's by the C - ration stack and saw (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) He inspected the third

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

SUBSTANTIATION (Continued)

VC by the garbage dump. He was dead and beside him was a makeshift litter. Later (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) heard at the ambush site several TFRP members talking in surprise and amazement that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) had shot a wounded VC there. He stated a total of three VC's were killed that night and two carbines were found among the bodies and to the best of his recollection the other members of the ambush team were (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) SSG John GERTSCH (KIA 19 Jul 69) and possibly (b)(6) (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) related that he was a member of that ambush team. Two VC's were killed by the booby traps and (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] He gave the prisoner a drink of water and after walking away from him he heard a burst of shots behind him. He looked back and saw the VC was dead and part of his head was missing. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) did not know who shot the individual (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) was the only person he could recall standing in the group as the VC was shot. According (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) the man would not have lived throught the night due to his injuries and there was no tactical necessity to kill him.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) denied any knowledge of the murder. He admitted he was a member of the ambush team and stated his team left their positions for about 30 minutes after the ambush was sprung and pursued about 30 VC's that had entered the village earlier.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) related that after being resupplied in the Song Ve Valley he departed the area with the main body, leaving an ambush team behind. Later the main body was ordered to return to the same area. As they arrived it was dusk an (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) noted three dead VN's (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] Later (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) observed from his position on the perimeter, about 50 feet from the (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) fired with his car - 15 rifle a burst of about 4 to 5 rounds into the wounded VN. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) stated he looked directly (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) as he shot the man and there was enought light for him to see what was occurring.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) related that the ambush was set off between 2000 - 2200 hrs and he responded with his team, the Command Post element and maybe another team. a total of about 15 men, to the ambush site. About 50 meters outside of the village he heard a lot of commotion and screaming in English and Vietnamese. In the ambush (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) noted two dead VC bodies and (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] After meeting with members (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) ambush team (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) and about four other members standing in a group next (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) stated he saw (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) fired his rifle at (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) and then the remainder of the group opened fire on the (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) stated he is sure that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) was in that group but could not say whether (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) fired his rifle or not. He stated he was unable to identify the other persons that were in that group.

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

SUBSTANTIATION (Continued)

Two or three carbines were found at the ambush scene. He supplied the following reasons, why, in his opinion, the shooting of the VC was justified: A counterattack could have been possible; the wounded VC posed a threat to the unit because he could have called out his location; the VC was near death anyway and would not have lived through the night; effecting a medical evacuation by helicopter was not practical and could have drawn fire, however, a wounded Tiger Force member would have more than likely been evacuated under the same circumstances; everything in an ambush was suppose to have been killed; and the fact that the area was a declared "free fire zone" was by itself, enough justification to kill the man.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) stated he departed the area in which the ambush was set up at about 1700 hrs and that sometime between 2200 - 2400 hrs everyone, including (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) returned to the ambush site. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) saw a dead enemy body and believed there had been more bodies and that webb gear, grenades and a rifle were found. After setting up his machine gun he heard a burst of M-16 shots. He stated he had no knowledge that a wounded VC was shot that night.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) stated that he and his team responded to the ambush location and that it took him about 40 minutes to get there. He saw three dead bodies and two weapons at the ambush site. He also stated that he felt that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) and his Command Post element arrived sometime later and he denied any knowlege that a wounded VC was shot that night.

7. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) the former Arty FO, alleged in a sworn and written statement that in Jul 67 about two weeks after allegation 2c, the TFRP was set up inside a perimeter on the edge of a small village. After someone reported that two old women were approaching the perimeter, (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) ordered the perimeter guards to open fire at the women. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) countermanded this order because the women were approaching the TFRP positions directly. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) overruled (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) order and started to fire at the women and then the perimeter guards joined in and also opened fire. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 1/327 He later reported this incident and allegation 2c to an unidentified Major (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) of 2/320 FA and he was told to return to his duties with the TFRP. During a re-interview to determine additional facts about this allegation, (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) declined to answer any further questions per advice of a civilian attorney because he felt this investigation could jeopardize his appointment to the Ohio State Bar Association in 1975.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) related that during the summer of 1967 four individuals, two of whom appeared to have carried arms, were observed crossing about 700 meters in front of their position. They engaged those persons with machine gun fire due to the distance involved and one was hit and fell, another stopped and remained with the one that was hit and the other two escaped from the area. Theh two persons turned out to have been females and both were later evacuated.

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

SUBSTANTIATION (Continued)

Mr (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (TFRP about Jul - Oct 67) orally stated that in Jul 67 they opened fire on two individuals who had failed to obey a warning order to stop as they were crossing in a suspicious manner about 500 meters in front of their position. After the two individuals were captured it was determined they were women and (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) also stated that he did not know if an order was given to open fire or if the men opened fire on their own.

Efforts to identify that Major met with negative results.

8. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) alleged during two interviews that in Aug 67, near Chu Lai, several (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) and other persons fled into three bunkers after they observed TFRP members entering their village. As the occupants refused to come outside, unknown unit members threw hand grenades into the bunkers, killing all the occupants. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) first claimed one to (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) were killed and upon further questioning, he related that he didn't know what the bunkner contained after the grenades were thrown in.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) related that during the early evening hours he arrived with more than one element at a village which had two bunkers. He was at the rear of the column and did not know if anyone fled into the bunkers as they approached. An NCO, who he believed was SSG James C. HAUGH (KIA 28 Mar 68), ordered hand grenades thrown into the bunkers. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) and others then lobbed grenades into the bunkers. Afterwards they set up their night perimeter about 20 - 30 meters from the bunkers and (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) heard human sounds during the night from the direction of the bunkers which he interpreted as injured occupants trying to get help. More than one element was present. He did not know if the bunkers were searched after the explosions or if first aid was administered to the occupants or not, or if this is the same incident as alleged by (b)(6) (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) related as they arrived at a village near Chu Lai, at dawn, all the villagers fled into bunkers. They yelled for the occupants to come out, using the few Vietnamese phrases they knew. No one responded and they threw hand grenades inside the bunkers. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) saw that bodies were taken outside after the explosions but he could not furnish an account of how many were killed. He did not recall an order to use the hand grenades. No signs were found that linked the occupants to the enemy. They had received information that their Bn S-2 wanted something done about the village because the residents were enemy sympathizers. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) also implied that the TFRP was used as an assassin squad by the higher echelons of the command structure.

9. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) alleged during two interviews that in the morning hours, 27 Jul 67, (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) as in the Song Ve Valley, he caught two unarmed VN males, about (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) they were running across a rice paddy. After an interrogation by a (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) was classified as a VC. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) learned from an unknown TFRP member that he and another unit member were told by the

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

56

SUBSTANTIATION (Continued)

officer in charge to take care of the prisoners. The prisoners were escorted down a hill, stood side by side, and then executed with bursts from M-16 rifles by two or three TFRP members from a distance of about six feet. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) did not personally hear the order for the execution and he could not name the other persons that were present. On the evening of the same day (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) was wounded in action and permanently evacuated from the unit. He identified the TF Cdr at the time as the same officer about whom he had heard the rumor that he had killed the old man after the river crossing (allegation 2c).

According to S-3 Journals and Morning Reports (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) was wounded at about 0045 hrs, 28 Jul 67 in the northern portion of the Song Ve Valley.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) was administered a polygraph examination by SA (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) Korea FO, USACIDC, APO SF 96301.

10. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) alleged that on the same day he told (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) he wanted to leave the TFRP (20 sep 67), he saw (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) and another LT, attached to the TFRP as an Arty FO, firing their M-16 rifles from a hill, at a distance of about 200 meters, at an old farmer who was plowing with a water buffalo in a rice paddy. Both officers mentioned they were test firing their weapons and they were laughing when the farmer ran for cover. About 12 or more rounds were fired and the impact raised water spouts within about ten feet from the farmer. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) fired his car - 15 rifle first and then switched over to an M-16. The farmer was not physically injured.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) was advised of his rights by SA (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) Ansbach RA, Second Region, USACIDC, and declined to make any statement and requested counsel. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) indicated he possessed a diary which he kept while attached to the TFRP. CPT (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) SJA, 1st Armd Div, APO NY 09326 advised (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) not to make any statement and not to relinquish the diary.

11. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) alleged that on an unknown date during the latter part of 1967, his element, led by (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) surrounded a hootch in the early morning hours to capture a high ranking male VC or NVA reportedly living there. After the VN managed to escape unharmed, (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) , and (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) were taken from the building. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) in a state of anger, ordered the building burned to the ground. As the building was set on fire (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) which made her react as if she (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) The element, the (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) then moved to another hootch about 200 meters away. Several hours later (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) to kill the woman whenever the element was moving from the area. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) prepared the element to move out and as he returned (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) asked him if he was sure that he wanted this done. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) replied "grease her". While the element was moving away from the hootch, (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) pointed his M-16 rifle at the woman at a distance of about 10 - 15 feet and shot her once or twice in the chest. She fell to the ground. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) saw tha (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) behind him had witnessed the execution. Both

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

86

000040

SUBSTANTIATION (Continued)

then joined up with the rest of the element. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) stated he didn't see the impact of the rounds as he shot her but he was sure he hit her and assumed she was dead. He shot her with the intention of killing her and because he was ordered to do so (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) He did not know at the time that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) unlawful order didn't have to be carried out. He did not know why (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) ordered the woman killed or the identity of the element members present with the exception of Mr (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (TFRP Jun 67 - 1968) who was the element's B (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) also alleged that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) numerous occasions ordered him and others to shoot VN persons when it was not necessary and that those orders were carried out. Once he expressed concern (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) that they were shooting a lot of VN without weapons and (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) told him not to worry about it "we can always get the weapons later".

12. All of the 1/327 officers, assigned during the tenure of (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) and (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) Cdr's, that were interviewed, related they had no knowledge that war crimes were committed by the TFRP or other troops in the Bn; that they had no knowledge that war crimes were ever reported to (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) or that any disciplinary action was ever taken against anyone in the Bn for such acts.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C), (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) related that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) was a weak Bn Cdr, whose only concern was to put in command time and did not want anything to happen which could have blemished his career. LTC (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) , The Pentagon, Washington D. C. (former MAJ and (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) related that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) was a brand new Cdr. MAJ (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) HHC I Corps (ROK/US) Gp, APO SF 96358 (S-3 Air, S-1 and A Co Cdr Jun 67 - Jun 68) and MAJ (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) HHC, 3d Inf Div, APO NY 09036 (S-4 May - Jul 67) related that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) was aggressive and capable of controlling his Bn and that he expressed a desire to know what was going on. The other officers who served under (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) described him as capable, not overly aggressive and dynamic, or as a weak and inexperienced Cdr.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) according to the officers, was an extremely capable and effective, aggressive and dynamic Cdr who made it his business to know about his Bn's activities. Most of the officers related (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) would have taken action had such incidents come to his attention and several of the officers stated (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) the best Cdr they ever served with.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) related tha (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) nce reprimanded an unknown TFRP Cdr because his men were too quick in opening fire. LTC (b)(6) (b)(7)(C), (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) Stu Det, US Army War College, Carlisle Bks, PA (S-3 Jan - Aug 67) related that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) had alerted his Company Cdr's of the possibility of such crimes and told them he wouldn't tolerate such acts. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) related he didn't know if (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) had any war crimes prevention policies. LTC (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (Co C Cdr Dec 66 - Jun 67) related he could not recall (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) had such policies. The other officers who served under (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) had no knowledge of any existing war crime prevention policies by (b)(6) (b)(7)(C)

58

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

SUBSTANTIATION (Continued)

MAJ (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) Spt Co, Comb Arms Trng Cent, APO NY 09114, (S-4 Jun 67 - Jan 68) related that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) on many occasions talked with his Cdr's and NCO's about treating the enemy with respect and the VN in a humane manner. Mr (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (S-2 and Cdr (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) related he accompanied (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) when he extracted a POW with his helicopter from one of his companies. As he learned that the POW (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) who passed through the unit area, (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) gave the unit Cdr (not identified) the definite understanding he wouldn't tolerate such acts and (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) later heard the (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) threatened that Cdr with the loss of his job if this occurred again (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) related that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) once risked a helicopter by effecting the evacuation of a seriously wounded POW from Co A 1/327 while the company was under heavy enemy fire. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) related there were no special war crimes prevention policies other than that given in troop training and what was passed out in meetings by the Bn Cdr to the staff and the unit Cdr's. MAJ (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) CSGC, Ft Leavenworth, KS (Co C Cdr under (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) related that he could not recall that the subject of war crimes was ever discussed with him by (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) and that such acts were not much talked about. MAJ (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) HHC, Spt Cmd, 3d Armd Div, APO NY 09039 (Co B Cdr under (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) related that other than the general reminder during staff meetings to adhere to the rules of engagement there were no specific policies about war crimes prevention or any particular concern about the conduct toward the enemy. MAJ (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) HHC, USAG, Ft Lewis, WA (B Co Cdr after (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) related that he had no knowledge of any war crime policies (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) and that he could not recall (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) ever talked with him about the subject because it was "understood" that war crimes would not be condoned.

Efforts to locate and interview (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) were negative.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) related that his war crime prevention policy was that only armed persons engaged in combat were considered the enemy and not women, (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) or (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) He could not recall whether or not he promulgated such polices in writing and he stated that he never had to enforce such policies because incidents of such a nature were never reported to him by his commanders or other sources.

1/327 S-3 Journals listed the times, dates and locations of TFRP contacts from 1 May - 31 Nov 67. Unit history records identified the areas, names, concepts and results of 1/327 operations during 1967. Photographs of members of the TFRP during Apr and Nov 67, and two notebooks listing the persons assigned to the TFRP during 1967 were obtained. Maps, pinpointing pertinent locations of incidents 2c, 2m, 2q and areas of TFRF operations in the Song Ve Valley during Jun and Jul 67, were prepared. An agent's statement by SA (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) provides other information not covered in the report or by attached exhibits.

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

58

EXHIBITS

1. ATTACHED

A. Statement of SGT (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 24 Jan 73 (cy)
B. Statement of Mr (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 0 Nov 72 (cy)
C. Statement of Mr [redacted] unsigned, 18 Jan 73 (cy)
D. Statement of SA [redacted] Feb 73 (cy)
E. Statement of 2L [redacted] 5 Feb 73 (cy)
F. Statement of Mr [redacted] 7 Apr 73 (cy)
G. Statement of Mr [redacted] n 73 (cy)
H. Statement of Mr [redacted] May 73 (cy)
I. Statement of SA [redacted] un 73 (cy)
J. Statement of SA [redacted] Mar 73 (cy)
K. Statement of SP [redacted] Sep 72 (cy)
L. Statement of SP [redacted] 7 Jun 73 (cy)
M. Statement of SA [redacted] Jul 73 (cy)
N. Tiger Force Group Photograph, exposed during early part of 1967 at an unknown location in RVN (cy) (UDL, CRD and Ft Ord cys only)

2. NOT ATTACHED

None

Originals of Exhibits A thru M are retained in the files of this office. The location of the negative of Exhibit M is unknown.

WITNESSES

SGT (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) Co A, 10th SF, APO NY 09050
Mr (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted]
Mr (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted]
LT (b)(6) (b)(7)(C)
SA (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) Columbus Resident Agency, First Region, USACIDC, Columbus, OH 43215
2LT (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) Co C, 4th Bn, 1st Bde, Ft Jackson, SC 29207
Mr (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted]
Mr
Mr (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted]
SP4 (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) Co B, 1st Bn, 30th Inf, APO NY 09033

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

UNDEVELOPED LEADS

1. SAIC, TACOM Res Agcy, First Region, USACIDC, Dearborn, MI 48126: Locate and interview Mr (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] PFC, US 54 951 182, Tiger Force, HHC 1/327 Inf).

Establish the dates he joined the Army and the Tiger Force, the date and reason he departed the Tiger Force (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] It is believed that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] with the Tiger Force and that he never joined (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted]

Interview him concerning his knowledge of allegations 3a and 3b made by (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] If (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] did return to the Tiger Force after 15 May 67 then interview him concerning all the (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] allegations listed in the report which could have occurred during the time frame of his assignment and about allegation 2a made by (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] if he was still assigned to the Tiger Force during the latter part of 1967.

Interview him concerning another allegation made by (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] (not listed in the report, see page 2 of Exhibit C), that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] overheard conversations as he joined the Tiger Force in Feb 67 which indicated to him that it had been an accepted practice of the Tiger Force to cut off the ears from dead enemy bodies.

Obtain a written statement and interview worksheet. List in your statements only the names of Tiger Force personnel who committed these allegations and the names of persons who actually witnessed these acts. It is further requested that your statements/or documents do not make reference to the numbered and lettered paragraphs of the various allegations listed in this report.

If (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] has moved to another location expend all possible efforts to locate his whereabouts and forward this lead to the appropriate CID office for completion. Contact this agent telephonically (AVN 972-7341, 7769, 7692, 7896) upon receipt and completion of this lead to enable this office to meet the Weekly Status of War Crimes Investigations requirement imposed by USACIDC. Forward two copies of your information report to this office and one copy directly to USACIDC, ATTN: CIDC-OS (File 71-484).

2. CDR, Ft Knox Fld Ofc, Third Region, USACIDC, Ft Knox, KY 40121: Locate and interview Mr (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] (formerly PFC (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] Tiger Force, HHC 1/327 Inf) and comply with UDL 1. It is believed that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] also was wounded in action with the Tiger Force on 15 May 67 and that he never returned to that unit after that date.

UNDEVELOPED LEADS (Continued)

3. SAIC, Salt Lake Res Agcy, Sixth Region, USACIDC, Ft Douglas, UT 84133: Locate and interview Mr (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) formerly SP5 or SGT, (b)(6) (b)(7)(C), Tiger Force, HHC 1/327 Inf), and comply with UDL 1. According to Morning Reports (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) was reassigned to CONUS on 28 May 67.

4. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) Fourth Region, USACIDC, APO SF 96301: Locate and interview PSG (b)(6) (b)(7)(C), (b)(6) (b)(7)(C), (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) and comply with UDL 1. Determine his present status and ascertain if his Army [illegible] It is believed that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) was assigned as Platoon

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) information received indicates that he was then assigned other company duties and that he did not join the Tiger Force again. According to Morning Reports (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) departed on TDY on 14 Apr 67 and returned from TDY on 13 Jun 67. On 23 Jun 67 he was reassigned to CONUS.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) confirms that he permanently departed the Tiger Force on 18 Feb 67, then interview him only about his knowledge of allegation 3a and about the allegation that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) overheard conversations between Tiger Force members that they had cut ears from dead enemy bodies.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) indicates knowledge of these allegations then advise him of his rights for having been derelict in his duties for not reporting these incidents to his superiors.

EXHIBITS

A. ATTACHED

1. Statement of SP5 (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) Feb 71
2. Statement of SP5 [redacted] 8 May 72
3. Statement of SA [redacted] 19 May 72
4. Rights Warning Certificate and statement of SP5 (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 18 Apr 73
5. Statement of SA (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 19 Apr 73
6. Statement of SA (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) Aug 72
7. Statement of Mr. [redacted] 3 Oct 72
8. Statement of Mr. [redacted] 30 Nov 72
9. Statement of SA (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) ec 72
10. Statement of Mr [redacted] 12 Dec 72
11. Statement of SA (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) Mar 75
12. Statement of CPT [redacted] 3 Apr 74
13. Statement of SA (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 74
14. Statement of SGT [redacted] 24 Jan 73
15. Statement of SA (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) Jan 73
16. Statement of Mr. [redacted] 7 Jan 74
17. Statement of SA [redacted] 5 Feb 74
18. Statement of Mr. [redacted] 2 Mar 74
19. Rights Warning Certificate pertaining to Mr. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 24 Apr 74
20. Statement of SA (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 25 Apr 74
21. Rights Warning Certificate pertaining to Mr. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 21 Mar 75
22. Statement of SA (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) ar 75
23. Statement of Mr [redacted] 18 Jan 73
24. Statement of SA (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) eb 73
25. Statement of 2LT [redacted] Feb 73
26. Statement of SP4 [redacted] 16 Jan 72
27. Statement of SP4 [redacted] 21 Aug 73
28. Rights Warning Certificate and statement of Mr (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 18 Jul 74
29. Statement of Mr (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) an 74
30. Statement of SA [redacted] an 74
31. Statement of Mr [redacted] an 74
32. Statement of SA [redacted] 17 Jan 74
33. Statement of Mr. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) ct 73
34. Statement of SA [redacted] Oct 73
35. Statement of SA [redacted] Jan 75
36. Statement of Mr. [redacted] 27 Apr 73
37. Statement of PSC [redacted] 22 Sep 72
38. Statement of MSC [redacted] 15 Jan 74
39. Statement of CPT (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 17 Jan 74
40. Statement of Mr. [redacted] Jan 73
41. Statement of Mr. [redacted] 31 May 73

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

EXHIBITS (Continued)

42. Statement of SA (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) un 73
43. Statement of Mr [redacted] 7 Jun 74
44. Statement of SA [redacted] 8 Jun 74
45. Statement of Mr [redacted] 22 Jan 74
46. Statement of SA [redacted] 2 Jan 74
47. Statement of SA (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 74
48. Statement of SA [redacted] t 72
49. Statement of SA [redacted] ov 72
50. Statement of Mr [redacted] Oct 73
51. Statement of SS [redacted] Nov 72
52. Statement of SS [redacted] Dec 72
53. Statement of SA [redacted] Dec 72
54. Statement of SS [redacted] Jan 74
55. Statement of SF [redacted] Apr 74
56. Statement of SS [redacted] 1 Jul 72
57. Statement of SS [redacted] 8 Jan 74
58. Statement of SS [redacted] Nov 74
59. Statement of CP [redacted] 8 Jul 72
60. Statement of SA [redacted] Nov 72
61. Rights Warning Certificate pertaining to CPT (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 16 Mar 73
62. Rights Warning Certificate pertaining to CPT [redacted] 17 Mar 73
63. Statement of SA (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 3 Mar 75
64. Statement of SF [redacted] 21 Apr 72
65. Statement of SA [redacted] May 72
66. Statement of SF (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 22 Nov 72
67. Rights Warning Certificate pertaining to SF (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 7 Oct 73
68. Statement of SA (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) ar 75
69. Statement of SS [redacted] Jan 74
70. Statement of SP [redacted] Sep 72
71. Statement of SP [redacted] Nov 72
72. Statement of SP [redacted] Jan 74
73. Statement of SA [redacted] 29 Jan 74
74. Statement of SA [redacted] 22 Jul 74
75. Statement of Mr [redacted] 6 Oct 73
76. Statement of SG [redacted] 21 Sep 72
77. Statement of SS [redacted] 22 Nov 72
78. Statement of SS [redacted] 18 Jan 74
79. Statement of SA (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 74
80. Statement of Mr [redacted] 3 Apr 72
81. Statement of SA [redacted] 18 Apr 72
82. Statement of SA [redacted] 18 May 72
83. Statement of SA [redacted] 4 Nov 72
84. Statement of SA [redacted] Aug 74

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

63

EXHIBITS (Continued)

85. Rights Warning Certificate and statement of SP5 [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 27 Jun 73
86. Statement of SA [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 28 Mar 75
87. Rights Warning Certificate pertaining to Mr. [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 15 Nov 74
88. Statement of SA [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 20 Nov 74
89. Statement of SP4 [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 20 Sep 72
90. Statement of SA [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 5 Jan 73
91. Statement of Mr [redacted] 20 Sep 72
92. Statement of SA [redacted] 21 Sep 72
93. Statement of Mr. [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 18 Jun 74
94. Statement of SA [redacted] Feb 74
95. Statement of SF [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) an 74
96. Statement of 1SG [redacted] Aug 73
97. Statement of SA [redacted] Aug 73
98. Statement of SA [redacted] 15 Oct 73
99. Statement of SA [redacted] 15 Oct 73
100. Statement of SA [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) ct 74
101. Statement of Mr [redacted] 27 Sep 73
102. Statement of SA [redacted] t 73
103. Statement of Mr [redacted] 2 Jul 74
104. Statement of Mr [redacted] 18 Oct 73
105. Statement of SA [redacted] Oct 73
106. Statement of SA [redacted] Jul 74
107. Statement of SA [redacted] Jul 74
108. Statement of SA [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 9 Mar 74
109. Statement of SA [redacted] 72
110. Statement of SA [redacted] 72
111. Statement of SA [redacted] an 74
112. Statement of SA [redacted] n 74
113. Statement of SG [redacted] ep 72
114. Statement of SA [redacted] Sep 72
115. Statement of SG [redacted] ov 72
116. Statement of SA [redacted] 26 Oct 73
117. Statement of SA [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 72
118. Statement of SA [redacted] 72
119. Statement of Mr [redacted] n 74
120. Statement of Mr [redacted] n 74
121. Statement of SA [redacted] 74
122. Statement of CP [redacted] 8 Aug 72
123. Statement of Mr [redacted] 17 Jan 74
124. Statement of SA [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 5 Feb 75
125. Statement of SA [redacted] 24 Jun 74
126. Statement of Mr [redacted] Oct 73
127. Statement of SA [redacted] t 73

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

64

EXHIBITS (Continued)

128. Statement of Mr. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 4 Nov 73
129. Statement of SA [redacted] Nov 73
130. Statement of SA [redacted] 8 Feb 74
131. Statement of SG [redacted] 21 Sep 72
132. Statement of SG [redacted] 12 Feb 74
133. Statement of SA [redacted] Sep 74
134. Rights Warning Certificate pertaining to Mr. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 14 Feb 75
135. Statement of SA (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 7 Feb 75
136. Statement of CP [redacted] 1 Aug 72
137. Rights Warning Certificate and statement of CPT (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 6 Nov 74
138. Statement of SA (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) ov 74
139. Statement of SA [redacted] Jan 75
140. Statement of Mr. [redacted] 29 Sep 72
141. Statement of SA (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) ov 72
142. Statement of Mr. [redacted] 18 Jan 74
143. Statement of Mr [redacted] 18 Jun 74
144. Statement of SA [redacted] Jun 74
145. Statement of Mr [redacted] 7 Sep 72
146. Statement of Mr [redacted] 8 Jul 74
147. Statement of SA (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) Oct 74
148. Statement of SA [redacted] 10 Mar 75
149. Statement of SA [redacted] 12 Mar 75
150. Statement of SA [redacted] Mar 75
151. Statement of SA [redacted] Sep 73
152. Statement of SA [redacted] Oct 73
153. Statement of Mr. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 29 Jan 74
154. Statement of Mr. [redacted] 8 Jul 74
155. Statement of Mr. [redacted] 8 Aug 74
156. Statement of Mr. [redacted] 14 Aug 74
157. Statement of Mr (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) Aug 74
158. Statement of SA [redacted] Sep 74
159. Statement of SS [redacted] Jul 74
160. Statement of SS [redacted] Sep 74
161. Statement of SA [redacted] Sep 74
162. Statement of SA [redacted] 17 Jan 73
163. Statement of SA [redacted] 9 Mar 73
164. Statement of SA [redacted] Oct 74
165. Statement of Mr [redacted] Dec 74
166. Statement of SF [redacted] 25 Jun 74
167. Statement of SA (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) Sep 74
168. Statement of SGT [redacted] Jun 74
169. Statement of SA [redacted] Mar 75
170. Statement of 1LT [redacted] 28 Jun 74
171. Statement of 1LT [redacted] 31 Oct 74

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

65

EXHIBITS (Continued)

172. Statement of SA (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 8 Jan 73
173. Statement of SA [redacted] Jul 74
174. Rights Warning Certificate pertaining to CPT (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] 11 Nov 74
175. Statement of SA (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 12 Nov 74
176. Statement of SA (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 21 Jun 74
177. Rights Warning Certificate and statement of Mr. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] 27 Nov 74
178. Statement of SA (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) ec 74
179. Statement of SA [redacted] 4 Sep 72
180. Statement of SA [redacted] 17 Jan 74
181. Statement of SS [redacted] 9 May 72
182. Rights Warning Certificate and statement of SFC (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] 14 Mar 74
183. Statement of SA (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 72
184. Statement of SS [redacted] 5 Oct 73
185. Statement of SS [redacted] Sep 73
186. Statement of SA [redacted] ep 72
187. Statement of CP [redacted] 9 Mar 74
188. Statement of CP [redacted] Jul 74
189. Statement of SS [redacted] ul 72
190. Statement of SS [redacted] ov 72
191. Statement of SA (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) an 74
192. Statement of SP [redacted] Aug 73
193. Statement of SS [redacted] 3 May 73
194. Statement of SG [redacted] Dec 72
195. Statement of SA [redacted] 9 Apr 73
196. Statement of SF [redacted] Jul 72
197. Statement of SA [redacted] Jul 72
198. Statement of SF [redacted] Jun 73
199. Statement of SA [redacted] Jun 73
200. Statement of Mr. [redacted] Jan 73
201. Statement of SA [redacted] Feb 73
202. Statement of SA [redacted] 5 Jan 74
203. Rights Warning Certificate and statement of Mr. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) [redacted] 25 Sep 73
204. Statement of SA (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) , 28 Sep 73
205. Statement of Mr [redacted] 8 Jan 73
206. Statement of Mr [redacted] 7 Sep 73
207. Statement of SA [redacted] 8 Sep 73
208. Statement of Mr [redacted] Jul 74
209. Statement of SA [redacted] 7 Jul 72
210. Statement of SA [redacted] 12 Oct 73
211. Statement of SA (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) Jul 72
212. Statement of Mr [redacted] 20 Nov 72
213. Statement of Mr [redacted] 23 Oct 73
214. Statement of SA [redacted] Nov 73
215. Statement of SA [redacted] 9 Jun 73

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

EXHIBITS (Continued)

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C)

216. Statement of Mr [redacted] Jan 74
217. Statement of SA [redacted] 74
218. Statement of Mr [redacted] Jul 72
219. Statement of SA [redacted] 1 72
220. Statement of SA [redacted] 72
221. Statement of Mr [redacted] 28 Jul 72
222. Statement of Mr [redacted] 21 Nov 72
223. Statement of SA [redacted] ug 73
224. Statement of Mr [redacted] 26 Jul 72
225. Statement of SA [redacted] 8 Jul 72
226. Statement of SA [redacted] Feb 74
227. Statement of Mr [redacted] 21 Jul 73
228. Statement of SA [redacted] Jul 73
229. Statement of SA [redacted] Jan 74
230. Statement of SA [redacted] 1 74
231. Statement of SA [redacted] 2 Oct 73
232. Statement of SA [redacted] 6 Oct 73
233. Statement of SA [redacted]
234. Statement of Mr [redacted] ct 73
235. Statement of SA [redacted] 73
236. Statement of Mr [redacted] 1 73
237. Statement of Mr [redacted] n 73
238. Statement of SA [redacted]
239. Statement of SA [redacted]
240. Statement of Mr [redacted]
241. Statement of Mr [redacted] 4
242. Statement of SA [redacted]
243. Statement of SA [redacted] 3
244. Statement of SA [redacted] 3
245. Statement of PF [redacted] 2
246. Statement of SA [redacted]
247. Statement of SF [redacted] 3
248. Statement of SA [redacted] 74
249. Statement of SA [redacted] 72
250. Statement of Mr [redacted] 73
251. Statement of SA [redacted] 73
252. Statement of Mr [redacted] 74
253. Statement of SA [redacted] 74
254. Statement of Mr [redacted] 73
255. Statement of SA [redacted] 73
256. Statement of Mr [redacted] 73
257. Statement of Mr [redacted] 72
258. Statement of SA [redacted] ct 72

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

67

EXHIBITS (Continued)

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C)

259. Statement of Mr [redacted] Nov 72
260. Statement of SA [redacted] 17 Nov 72
261. Statement of Mr [redacted] Oct 73
262. Statement of SA [redacted] t 73
263. Statement of SA [redacted] 9 Jan 73
264. Statement of SA [redacted] p 72
265. Statement of SA [redacted] 4 Nov 72
266. Statement of SA [redacted] n 74
267. Statement of Mr [redacted] 19 Jan 73
268. Statement of Mr [redacted] 19 Jun 74
269. Statement of SA [redacted] 74
270. Statement of SA [redacted] eb 73
271. Statement of SA [redacted] an 74
272. Statement of SA [redacted] ct 72
273. Statement of SA [redacted] an 74
274. Statement of Mr [redacted] un 74
275. Statement of SA [redacted] r 74
276. Statement of SA [redacted] un 74
277. Statement of Mr [redacted] 17 Jan 74
278. Statement of Mr [redacted] Jan 73
279. Statement of SA [redacted] 1 Jan 73
280. Statement of Mr [redacted] Oct 73
281. Statement of Mr [redacted] Jul 74
282. Statement of SA [redacted] Jul 74
283. Statement of Mr [redacted] 6 Oct 73
284. Statement of Mr [redacted] 23 Jul 73
285. Statement of SA [redacted] 24 Jul 73
286. Statement of SA [redacted] Jan 73
287. Statement of SA [redacted] Oct 73
288. Statement of SA [redacted] Aug 73
289. Statement of Mr [redacted] 6 Feb 74
290. Statement of SA [redacted] eb 74
291. Statement of SA [redacted] Mar 74
292. Statement of SA [redacted] 8 Mar 74
293. Statement of Mr [redacted] 3 Apr 74
294. Statement of SA [redacted] ep 73
295. Statement of SA [redacted] 24 Jul 73
296. Statement of Mr [redacted] 5 Oct 73
297. Statement of SA [redacted] 9 Jan 73
298. Statement of SA [redacted] 18 Oct 73
299. Statement of SA [redacted] 21 Nov 73
300. Statement of SA [redacted] Jan 74
301. Statement of SA [redacted] 13 Dec 73

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C)

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

EXHIBITS (Continued)

302. Statement of SA [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 7 Sep 73
303. Statement of Mr [redacted] 19 Jan 73
304. Statement of PS [redacted] 20 Sep 72
305. Statement of SA [redacted] 11 Jul 72
306. Statement of Mr [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 1 Aug 73
307. Statement of SA [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) Sep 72
308. Statement of SA [redacted] Jan 73
309. Statement of SA [redacted] 28 Mar 73
310. Statement of SA [redacted] 1 Jul 72
311. Statement of LT [redacted] 10 Aug 72
312. Statement of SA [redacted] 10 Aug 72
313. Statement of CO [redacted] 0 Aug 72
314. Statement of SA [redacted] 14 Aug 72
315. Rights Warning Certificate and statement of COL [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 17 Mar 75
316. Statement of SA [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) Mar 75
317. Statement of CO [redacted] Jan 75
318. Statement of CO [redacted] Jan 75
319. Statement of MA [redacted] 2 May 72
320. Statement of LT [redacted] 7 Jan 75
321. Statement of CP [redacted] 4 Nov 74
322. Statement of SA [redacted] Jan 73
323. Statement of Mr [redacted] 21 Jan 75
324. Statement of SA [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 24 Jan 74
325. Statement of CP [redacted] 17 Jan 75
326. Statement of SA [redacted] Mar 75
327. Statement of LT [redacted] Jan 75
328. Statement of MA [redacted] 4 Jan 75
329. Statement of LT [redacted] 7 Jan 75
330. Statement of MA [redacted] 23 Jan 75
331. Statement of CP [redacted] 4 Jan 75
332. Statement of MA [redacted] 22 Jan 75
333. Statement of SA [redacted] 8 Feb 75
334. Statement of LTC [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 20 Jan 75
335. Statement of CPT [redacted] Jan 75
336. Statement of SA [redacted] an 75
337. Statement of Mr. [redacted] 19 Jan 75
338. Statement of LTC [redacted] eb 75
339. Statement of SA [redacted] 72
340. Statement of LTC [redacted] 6 Jul 72
341. Statement of COL [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 30 Jun 72
342. Statement of COL [redacted] Jun 72
343. Statement of SA [redacted] ug 72
344. Statement of MAJ [redacted] 20 Jan 75

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

69

EXHIBITS (Continued)

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C)

345. Statement of MA[redacted] 75
346. Statement of CPT[redacted]n 75
347. Statement of MA[redacted]n 75
348. Statement of SA[redacted] 22 Jan 75
349. Statement of CW4[redacted] Jan 75
350. Statement of Mr.[redacted] Jan 75
351. Statement of Mr.[redacted]1 Feb 75
352. Statement of CPT[redacted] Jul 72
353. Statement of SA[redacted] Jul 72
354. Statement of SA[redacted]Jul 72
355. Statement of SS[redacted] Aug 72
356. Statement of SA[redacted] Aug 72
357. Statement of Mr[redacted] Aug 72
358. Statement of SA[redacted]25 Aug 72
359. Statement of SA[redacted]Aug 72
360. Statement of Mr[redacted] 24 Aug 72
361. Statement of SA[redacted]g 72
362. Statement of Mr[redacted]g 72
363. Statement of Mr[redacted]Aug 72
364. Statement of SA[redacted]ug 72
365. Statement of SS[redacted] Sep 72
366. Statement of SA[redacted]p 72
367. Statement of SS[redacted] Sep 72
368. Statement of SA[redacted] 20 Sep 72
369. Statement of SG[redacted]21 Sep 72
370. Statement of SA[redacted] Sep 72
371. Statement of SA[redacted]2 Sep 72
372. Statement of SA[redacted]Sep 72
373. Statement of Mr.[redacted]5 Sep 72
374. Statement of SA[redacted]28 Sep 72
375. Statement of CPT[redacted] Sep 72
376. Statement of SA[redacted]ep 72
377. Statement of SA[redacted] 72
378. Statement of Mr[redacted] 29 Sep 72
379. Statement of Mr[redacted]3 Oct 72
380. Statement of SA[redacted]t 72
381. Statement of SA[redacted] Nov 72
382. Statement of SS[redacted]0 Nov 72
383. Statement of SS[redacted]Dec 72
384. Statement of SS[redacted]Dec 72
385. Statement of SG[redacted]Dec 72
386. Statement of SS[redacted]ec 72
387. Statement of SS[redacted]ec 72
388. Statement of SS[redacted]Dec 72

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

EXHIBITS (Continued)

389. Statement of SF[redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 5 Dec 72
390. Statement of SS[redacted] Dec 72
391. Statement of PV[redacted] Dec 72
392. Statement of SG[redacted] 6 Dec 72
393. Statement of SG[redacted] Dec 72
394. Statement of SA [redacted] 5 Dec 72
395. Statement of SA [redacted] Apr 75
396. Polygraph examination report pertaining to SP5 [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 73
397. Polygraph examination report pertaining to Mr. [redacted] 74
398. Polygraph examination report pertaining to CPT [redacted] 75
399. Polygraph examination report pertaining to Mr. [redacted] 74
400. Polygraph examination report pertaining to Mr. [redacted] Aug 74
401. Polygraph examination report pertaining to Mr. [redacted] Aug 74
402. Polygraph examination report pertaining to SSG [redacted] 74
403. Polygraph examination authorization pertaining [redacted] 13 Aug 73 (CRD cy only)
404. Polygraph examination authorization pertaining to Mr. [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 3 Dec 73 (CRD cy only)
405. Polygraph examination authorization pertaining to Mr. [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 25 Mar 74 (CRD cy only)
406. Polygraph examination authorization pertaining to Mr. [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 9 Jul 74 (CRD cy only)
407. Polygraph examination authorization pertaining to SSG [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C), 6 Nov 74 (CRD cy only)
408. Polygraph examination statement of consent pertaining to SP5 [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 15 Aug 73 (CRD cy only)
409. Polygraph examination statement of consent pertaining to Mr. [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 16 Jan 74 (CRD cy only)
410. Polygraph examination statement of consent pertaining to Mr. [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 23 Jul 74 (CRD cy only)
411. Polygraph examination statement of consent pertaining to Mr. [redacted] 8 Aug 74 (CRD cy only)
412. Polygraph examination statement of consent pertaining to Mr. [redacted] 14 Aug 74 (CRD cy only)
413. Polygraph examination statement of consent pertaining to SSG [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 6 Nov 74 (CRD cy only)
414. Certificate of Death pertaining to SP4 AHERN, 21 Mar 68
415. Certificate of Death pertaining to PFC HATTEN, 22 May 67
416. Certificate of Death pertaining to SP4 GREEN, 3 Oct 67
417. Certificate of Death pertaining to SGT VARNEY, 3 Oct 67
418. Certificate of Death pertaining to SGT MUNOZ, 12 Oct 67
419. Certificate of Death pertaining to CPT McGAHA, 26 Jan 68
420. Certificate of Death pertaining to SP4 OAKDEN, 27 Sep 67

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

EXHIBITS (Continued)

421. Certificate of Death pertaining to SSG WATSON, 24 Sep 70
422. Certificate of Death pertaining to Mr. McLAIN, 19 Mar 71
423. Certificate of Death pertaining to PVT PEREZ, 27 Oct 67
424. Certificate of Death pertaining to CPL KING, 16 Apr 68
425. Certificate of Death pertaining to SP4 BROOKS, 9 Aug 67
426. Certificate of Death pertaining to SP5 BUTTS, 31 May 67
427. Certificate of Death pertaining to SP4 FORD, 18 Dec 70
428. Certificate of Death pertaining to SGT COLLINS, 28 Mar 68
429. Certificate of Death pertaining to SP4 BECK, 4 Oct 67
430. Certificate of Death pertaining to SP4 RUNYON, 26 Dec 67
431. Certificate of Death pertaining to PFC INGRAM, 2 Oct 67
432. Certificate of Death pertaining to SP4 FEIGENBUTZ, 9 Feb 68
433. Certificate of Death pertaining to PFC HENDRICKS, 24 Apr 67
434. Certificate of Death pertaining to SSG HAUGH, 2 Apr 68
435. Certificate of Death pertaining to SGT MITCHELL, 1 Jul 67
436. Certificate of Death pertaining to PFC MESSER, 29 Aug 67
437. Certificate of Death pertaining to SGT CORRIGAN, 14 Oct 68
438. Extract of Daily TFRP Contacts from 1/327 S-3 Journals - Duty Officer Logs, period 1 May - 30 Nov 67
439. 1/327 S-3 Journals - Duty Officer Logs, period 1 May - 30 Nov 67 (CRD only)
440. 1/327 S-3 Journal - Duty Officer Log, signed by MAJ (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 19 Nov 67
441. 1/327 Situation Report No. 205, period 231800H - 241800H Jul 67
442. 1/327 Unit History, period 1 Jan - 3 Jul 67
443. 1/327 Unit History, period 4 Jul 67 - 9 Jan 68
444. Three page letter written by Mr. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) with envelope postmarked 22 Jul 67
445. News article titled "Moonlight Ambush Net Five Curious Viet Cong", from the Army Reporter, 19 Aug 67
446. HHC 1/327 Morning Report (DA Form 1), signed by CPT (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 20 Sep 67
447. Map of MO DUC with locations of TFRP operations in the Song Ve Valley during Jun and Jul 67
448. Map of MO DUC with locations of TFRP contacts on 18, 23 and 24 Jul 67
449. Map of TIEN PHUOC with locations of TFRP activities on 21, 22 and 25 Aug 67
450. Map of TAM KY and HIEP DUC with locations of TFRP contacts 11 - 21 Nov 67 and location of 327th enemy body count on 19 Nov 67
451. Notebook listing members of the TFRP maintained by SFC (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) during 1967
452. Notebook listing members of the TFRP during 1967, released by Mr. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C)
453. Enlisted Qualification Record pertaining to Mr. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 24 Apr 69
454. Affidavit by ISG (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 3 Mar 69
455. Statement of CPT (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) undated
456. Psychiatric Evaluation pertaining to Mr. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 13 Mar 69
457. Officers Qualification Record pertaining to CPT (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) undated
458. Enlisted Qualification Record pertaining to SFC (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 17 Sep 73

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

72

EXHIBITS (Continued)

459. Letter of Commendation pertaining to SFC [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 10 Nov 67
460. Enlisted Qualification Record pertaining to SP5 [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 7 Dec 72
461. Special Orders, number 7, Hqs, 9th Inf Div and Ft Lewis, WA, pertaining to SP5 [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 7 Jan 74
462. Group photograph depicting TFRP members, exposed during Apr 67
463. Group photograph depicting TFRP members, exposed during Nov 67
464. Photograph depicting Mr. [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) and Mr [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) exposed unknown date in 1967 or 1968
465. Photograph depicting SF [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) exposed 22 Nov 67
466. Photograph depicting Mr [redacted] 27 Jun 74
467. Photograph depicting Mr [redacted] nd Mr [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) exposed unknown date in 1967
468. Photograph depicting Mr [redacted] uring awards ceremony in Phan Rang, exposed during Nov 67
469. Photograph depicting Mr. [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) exposed during Jun 68
470. Photographs depicting CP [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C), exposed 3 Apr 74
471. Photograph depicting Mr. [redacted] exposed on unknown date in 1967
472. Photograph depicting SGT [redacted] exposed unknown date in 1967
473. Photograph depicting former LT [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) and CPT [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) exposed unknown date in 1967
474. Photograph depicting ace of spades playing card with 101st Abn Div insignia, released by Mr [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) exposed 2 Aug 74
475. Photograph depicting sign with 1/327 line company nicknames and call sign of CO [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) exposed unknown date in 1967

B. NOT ATTACHED

Retained at the Crime Records Directorate, Hqs, USACIDC:

476. Polygraph charts pertaining to SP5 [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 16 Aug 74
477. Polygraph charts pertaining to Mr. [redacted] 21 Jan 74
478. Polygraph charts pertaining to Mr. [redacted] 24 Apr 74
479. Polygraph charts pertaining to Mr. [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 23 Jul 74, 8 and 15 Aug 74
480. Polygraph charts pertaining to SSG [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 6 Nov 74
481. Polygraph authorization pertaining to CPT [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 10 Dec 74
482. Polygraph examination statement of consent pertaining to CPT [redacted] (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 20 Jan 75

Retained at the National Personnel Records Center, St Louis, MO:

483. HHC 1/327 Inf Morning Reports, 1 Dec 66 - 31 Mar 68
484. HHC 1/327 Inf Enlisted Personnel Rosters, 10 Oct 66, 16 Apr and 27 Oct 67
485. HHC 1/327 Inf Officer Personnel Roster, 31 Jul 67
486. HHB 2/320 Arty Morning Reports, 1 Jan 67 - 31 Jan 68

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

EXHIBITS (Continued)

487. HHB 2/320 Arty Enlisted Personnel Rosters, 19 Apr and 26 Oct 67
488. Co A/320 Eng Bn Morning Reports, 1 Dec 66 - 31 Jan 67
489. Co A/326 Eng Bn Enlisted Personnel Roster, 26 Oct 67

Retained in the files of the Hqs, 101st Abn Div and Ft Campbell Division/Post Historian, Ft Campbell, KY:

490. Hqs, 1st Bde, 101st Abn Div Combat After Action Report, (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 21 May 67
491. Hqs, 1st Bde, 101st Abn Div Combat After Action Report, 17 Aug 67
492. Hqs, 1st Bde, 101st Abn Div Combat After Action Report, 2 Sep 67
493. Hqs, 1st Bde, 101st Abn Div Combat After Action Report, (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 11 Sep 67
494. Hqs, 1st Bde, 101st Abn Div Combat After Action Report, 28 Sep 67
495. Hqs, 1st Bde, 101st Abn Div Combat After Action Report, 11 Dec 67

Retained in the files of the National Records Center, Suitland, MD:

496. Hqs, 1st Bde, 101st Abn Div Operation Orders 27-67 and 29-67 for (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 29 May and 19 Jun 67
497. Hqs, 1st Bde, 101st Abn Div Operation Order 30-67 for (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) 2 Jun 67
498. Hqs, 1st Bde, 101st Abn Div Operation Order 31-67 for 30 Jul 67
499. Hqs, 1st Bde, 101st Abn Div Operation Order 32-67 for 9 Aug 67
500. Hqs, 1st Bde, 101st Abn Div Operation Order 33-67 for 31 Aug 67
501. Hqs, 1st Bde, 101st Abn Div Operation Order 34-67 for 9 Sep 67

The originals of Exhibits 1 - 413, 438 - 441, 452 and the negatives of Exhibits 462 - 475 are forwarded with the CRD copy of this report. Originals of Exhibits 414 - 437, 446, 453 - 461 are retained at the National Records Center, St Louis, MO. The originals of Exhibits 442 and 443 are retained by the Chief, US Army History Branch, Washington, DC. Originals of Exhibits 444 and 445 are in possession of Mr. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) Originals of Exhibits 447 - 450 are retained by the US Army Map Service, Washington, DC. Original of Exhibit 451 is presumed to be in possession of SFC (b)(6) (b)(7)(C)

LEADS

None

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY